pp F IX-1

1939. (January)

File No. 125-21.

Serial Nos. 17-21, 23-30.

Previous References
L.R. 372-19-(1-2)
Jud-37-19-40, 46, 255.
Army 466.20-1-5.
" 125.21-10 & 11.
" 125-25-(7-10)

Letter References: 125-21, 5 & 7.
" 63-22. 13, 14, 15, 17.
" 125. 7-8, 9.
" 125-21. 3-6.
" 125-21 — 1-2
" 341-05 1-2
L.R. 518-1906. 1-12.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 34.

Part B for March 1939.

SUBJECT.

Compensation amounts paid to Attamalla Shah Markham at Bangalore for its lands acquired on General account for public purposes and invested in the Bank

No. 1528-30 dt 16-3-1939.

To Army, Secy.
" Accountant General
" Director of Pub. Health

Sanction that a Sum of Rs 1412-8-0 may be credited in favour of Attamalla Shah Durga, Bangalore City

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—2-8-36

items of The lands ac — by Government

Hazrath Attavulla shah Sa — os Durga, near Lal Ba

Land acquired for model Farm and The Compensation deposited in The Govt- Savings Bank Book no 6247 51-07

Answer given by Govt- to interpallation no 12 at-The meeting of mysore Legislative council held on The 27th June 1921, — } Rs 390

Land acquired on 28th June 1915 for Lal Bagh main gate & lention compensation awarded Rs } Rs 98

Land acquired on 17th August-1918 for Vesavasapura compensation awarded

Land acquired on 29th June 1921, near Bamboo Bazaar Compensation awarded } Rs 304

Total Rs — 10,0 | 4-22 on

1931-B-3 [illegible]

[illegible]

ted below

9 27-4-23

14-0 paid for lands

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mey File No. 125 of 19

Serial No.

Subject

Compensation amounts paid to Allavalla Shah Makkan at Bangalore for its lands acquired ~~at~~ on several occasions for public purposes and invested in the State Loan -

---

The Manager of the Dargah interviewed the Dewan for the first time on 15-4-22 in the matter and a note was submitted on 25-4-22. The Dewan remarked as noted below "Seen. Thanks. No further action" 27-4-22

2. Out of the aggregate amount of Rs 3701-14-0, paid as compensation to the Dargah for lands acquired at different times, a sum of Rs 1970-0-9 was paid to the mortgagees for redemption of the land mortgaged.

3. The balance of Rs 1731 13 3 together with a sum of Rs 301 9, being the compensation amount paid for Nos 122 & 123 of Doddaikkana village acquired for Shop sites, the total being Rs 4780-13 3, was ordered to be invested in the State Loan

4. The Savings Bank Pass Book No 70-6217, which has since been transmitted to the Mey. Court with the connected files, shows that a sum of Rs 1691 was drawn on the 27-2-22 -

× Mey file 125-21 & 12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mng File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.

5. There are no references in the office with regard to compensation amounts Rs 418-0-0 (item 1), Rs 985-4-1 (item 3) & Rs 1743-6-5 (item 4) noted in the list of items given by the Secy:-

6. The above facts are noted in paras 1-5 of the office-note in recorded file 125-21-13 to 15.

R

7. Submd for orders (with all Encl. papers as per margin) forthe Secy to the Revenue orders on 2-12-22 calling for the papers in the case.

Encl: 125-21 in 6 Collections
Encl: 466-20
Ref: 37-79.

SD
7.12.22 SG 8/12/22

8. Please put up a tabular statement showing the lands for which Compensation awarded, the amount & how it has been disposed of.

9. Also what are the lands for which he says Compensation has been awarded, with amount etc

Up 14/12/22

10. The information wanted is furnished in a tabular statement forms in the next page -

SD
20/12/22 SG 20/12/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc. File No. 128 of 1921.

Serial No. 16

Statement showing the amounts of compensation awarded for lands belonging to the Attavalli Sha Darga near Lalbag, Bangalore City, acquired at different times; and how they have been disposed of -

Extent of lands acquired for what purpose and when	Amount of compensation awarded.	Manner of disposal
1) 7-7 acres out of S.No. 2 of Annipura village, Bangalore Taluk, for a Hindu burial ground, acquired in 1879.	Rs 717-8-0	Out of a total of Rs 3901-14-0 awarded as compensation, Rs 1970-0-0 were paid to the mortgagees to whom the lands had been mortgaged by the Sajjada, in order to redeem the mortgage. For the balance viz Rs 1931-13-3 the Sajjada was requested to purchase other suitable land which he failed to do for 2 years. At his request, the amount was placed in the Savings Bank in 1920 in the name of the
2) In 1888, 1-5 acres were acq^d out of S.No. 16 of the same village for the Lalbag.	Rs 140 0 0	
3) In 1889, 12 acres out of S.No. 10 of the same village were acquired for the Lalbag	Rs 1500-0-0	
4) In 1893, 8-10 acres out of S.No. 5 of the same village were acq^d for the Lalbag.	Rs 1443-12 0	
5) 1 acre out of S.No. 2 of the village was acq^d in 1901 for the burial ground in No. 1 above.	Rs 100-0-0	
	Rs 3901-14-0	

(vide Dy. Comr's letter no. T.C. 663 of 14-3-21 (Sl No 3 in File No. 466-20).

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mis File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.			
6)	S. Nos. 127 & 128 of Dodballapur Khan village endowed to the Darga were acqd for the formation of shop sites on both sides of the Hosakote road.	Rs 3,049 awarded as compensation	Deposited the Govt Savings Bank in the name of the D. C. Hill & Suitable time becomes available for purchase on behalf of the institution, and the interest to be paid in the meantime the use of Darga -
S/	(vide G.O. no. 2050-1/Mis 125-21-2 dt 27-10-21)		

12. Thus the total amount taken for the 7% loan is Rs 4975 out of Rs 4980-13-3

1931-13-3
3049-0-0
4980-13-3

13. Besides this amount, the Savings Bank Pass Book no. 6247 forwarded by the Comptr in Augst 22 showed that a sum of Rs 1691 was deposited further on 27-2-. No information regarding the details of this amount is available.

14. The correspondence on the subject was transferred to the Mys: House for disposal vide no - 1591/Mis 63-22-15 dt 24-10-22.

SD - 20-12-22

20/12/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 125 of 1924

Serial No.	
15	Call for an immediate report from M. C. about the dues alleged to be leaving been charged as Compensation are not referred to in the Correspondence. Where is the previous interview slip?
17	Draft letter to Muz. Comr. submd. The previous interview slip is Sl. no. 12 in the recorded collection 125-21-12 and is flagged. 27-12-22
	18. Issue & Reinstate 28/12/22
17	[illegible] Muz. Comm. [illegible] a Copy of the list and reports to furnish Comm. with regard to item 1, 3 & 4 referred to in the letter.
18	From the Muz. Comr., letter no. C. 590 dt. 22-23 dt. 22-3-23. Forwards copy of memo read from the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

D. C. Bangalore with his letter no. D 2 dis 1092/22-23 & 14-3-23, furnishing the Details of compensation amount awarded to the Attavalla Sha Darga at Bangalore for lands belonging to the institution.

19. In the list received from the manager of the Darga, it has been shown that a sum of Rs 10,097-8-6 is ~~due~~ at the credit of the Darga.

20. In pages 3 & 4 of the office note it has been shown that, out of a sum of Rs ~~4980-13-3~~ 4980-13-3 at the credit of the institution, a sum of Rs 4975 has been proposed to be invested in the State Loan.

21. From the memo received from the D. C. Bangalore, it will be seen that items (1), (3) & (4) in the list given by the manager of the Darga showing that sums of Rs 418-0-0, Rs 985-4-1 & Rs 1743-6-5 are due to the Makhan, are true.

418-0-0
985-4-1
1743-6-5
3146-10-6
4980-13-3
8127-7-9

22. So, in addition to the sum of Rs 4980-13-3 shown above, the Makhan has at its credit Rs 3146-10-6, both making a total of Rs 8127-7-9

23. ~~The~~ It is not clear how the D. C. States in his memo that a sum of Rs 4755-6-4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

122 E

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.	
	was at the credit of the institution at the end of 1921-22. It, therefore, appears necessary to ask the D.C through the M.C, to ~~state~~ furnish a statement showing the entire sum at the credit of the institution now & the details for it. Subd for orders.
	28-3-23
	Draft letter subd
	29-3-23
19	No 25[illegible] dt to the Mer. Omar. Calls for a Mr. Provincial Conference Accts. Awarded to the institution on different occasions & the total amt. at the Credit of the institution
20	Memorial addressed to H.H. dt 17-8-23 by the Sajjada of Hazarath Syed Alharulla Shah

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

My File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.

Saheb's Darga, Lalbag Road, Bangalore City, forwarded by the P. S. to H. H., praying that the funds of the Darga may not be invested for interest, and it may be used in the purchase of house-property, yielding rent-

1/ In letter no. ~~69~~ 2580 dy 3-4-23 (Sl no. 19) the M. C. has been requested to obtain and furnish information as to the total amount of funds, with details, at the credit of the Darga & how it has been utilized or invested. No reply has been received. Reminders are being sent.

A draft letter forwarding the memorial ~~to them~~ and calling for an early report is subd for approval or other orders.

30/8/23 Sd 30/8/23

Issue dcg 31/8/23

20. From, The Comptr.
no. 693-4 dy 15-9-23
States that he is issuing a Stock certificate for Rs 4750/ in the name of the Dewan to be held in trust on behalf of the Ahmadia Sha[illegible]

The comptr's letter explains the facts of the case
Submit with a DR. for approval

656 IW 25/9/23 26-9-23. Sd 26-9-23 2nd Member dcg 26/9/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Please put up draft recording the papers
Sd

R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 125 of 1921.

9

Serial No.	
	Issue dtd 3/9/23
21	No 508-9 dt 1/9/23 to the Muz. Comm.) the Comptr. Receipt, Cert & Vr.
22.	From, the Muz Comr, Letter no. C. 590 dt 10.10.23 27.10.23. Submits further report called for in Sl. 21. The muz. comr's letter explains the facts of the case. The muz. comr reports that out of the sum of Rs 7801-6 awarded as compensation for having acquired Darga lands, a sum of Rs 52-6-5 has adjusted towards Kandayam due from the Darga, that with regard to a sum of Rs 1086-7-1, the matter requires further investigation, and that for the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.

was credited to the Savings Bank account No. 6267. in the name of the institution - It is further reported that from the S. B. account, a sum of Rs 4750/ was withdrawn and invested in 7% loan, and a sum of Rs 406-6-0, in 6½% State loan

36 In his memorial dt 14.8.23 The Sajjada complains that a sum of Rs 3901-14-0 being the Compensation amount is lying unproductive with the Govt. In para 3 of his letter, the Muz. Comr explains how these amounts were expended, and adds that some amounts have yet to be accounted for -

37 In para 4 of his letter, the Muz. Comr further

NOTE—Contn. sheet

III.2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 125 of 192

Serial No

reports that as prayed for by the Sajjada, the amounts due to the Dargah may be invested into a villa to purchase house property yielding rent. etc.

Submits for orders

10-11-23

10.11.23

1) As regards the total amount that has been awarded as Compensation to Mallan, the Muz Com^r has not given his final report Certain items are to be still under investigation He may be asked to send the final report early

2). As regards the prayer of the petitioner that the amts should not be invested in State Loan, as it is against Shara to receive interest & that the same may be invested in house property, the Muzrai Com^r recommends the proposal since it is a point to be considered

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

III.2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 125 of 192

Serial No

Whether the investment in State Loans, which has been made, is a safer way of guarding the margins of the Mallikan. The papers may be submitted when Dewan as called for by the Member

14.11.25.

Sec. Secy.

343
15.11.25.

Secretary) 14/11/25

14.11.25.

(1) The investment of the money in the form of Govt. Loans is much safer & for more profitable than the investments as temporary can be. The Secretariat has the papers & it is on the ground that the Revenue Boards has records & witness as many. I do not see any reason for this is a valid objection. When I am about to ask I do think that object of the Committee Member will have any more in the question of the member

3628
16/11

1st member
with Compliments

4/11

Jos
15-11-25

Yes. It is Representative from an Ordinary point of view. 16.11.25

But as remarked by the 2nd member from the Secretariat it has not to be regarded as interest

517
3.12.23.

2nd member)

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561-GPB—3750—1.8.22

III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No. 46

Please put up a further report regarding in accordance with the recent decision of the Appellate in file 12 number. Also report in the meantime what action is taken and also why in that case a formal report has not been submitted and why action has not been taken

4/7 de

Please

11.12.23.

ST 6/12

Dy. Secy.

AG 5/12/23. 86 6/12/23

Fair draft.

11.12.23.

Secy.

AG 17/12/23 17/12/23

Fair draft.

26.12.23.

Medical is due to my being ill during the last week. The delay may kindly be excused.

26.12.23

595 26.12.23. 2nd member)

Vacation

15/1

Done

2.1.24.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561- GPB—3750—1 8 22

A.23.

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.	
23	To the Muzrai Commr. No. 885 d. 8.1.24. Requests him to instruct the D.C. to investigate and settle all items of compensation still due to the Darga and submit a final report to Govt, and states that Govt are not in favour of investing the money on house property that they consider investment in the Govt Savings Bank or Bonds a safer course than the above.
24.	From the Muzrai Commr Letter no. C.590 Dy 7-1-24. Recommends that the request of the Sajjada for payment of interest from date of award of compensation for the Darga lands from time to time is reasonable, and that interest at the usual rate S.B. has to be paid, and requests that Govt Sanction be [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

8/8

(15

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No

The S. B. Asst of Tne in 8th.

54 Tne Muz Comr promises to point out Tne Source in Consultation with Tne Dy. Comr. Bangalore.

Tne promised further report may be awaited.

25 Tnis office Comr (81.23) must have crossed Tne Muz Comr's. Comr under advertence.

Submits:

AD 19.1.24.

Yr. 21.1.24.

Sd 19.1.24

Sec

25. From, Tne Muz. Comr

Letter no. C. 590 dy 9.2.25.

Submits further report called for in 81.23 on Tne Subject.

WD 0361- GPB—3750—1 8 22

NOTE—Contn sheet

III.2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 125 of 1921.

71

Serial No.

5. The items of compensation claimed by the Sajjada of Atharulsha Darga at Bangalore are noted below:—

(1) Land acquired for model farm } 418-00

(2) Do - for Hindu Mahmedan burial ground } 3901-14-0

(3) for Lalbagh Manigale extension — — 985-4-1

(4) for Viswesvarapuram — 1743-6-2

(5) for Bamboobazaar — 3049-0-0

Items no. 1, 3 and 5 have been deposited in the Savings Bank Account.

Re item no. 4, the amount was credited to Rec. Deposits and after deducting therefrom the sum of Rs 52-6-3 towards the Kandayam on the land acquired, the balance of Rs 1691 was credited to Savings Bank Account

Re item no. 2, it is reported that a sum of Rs 1970-0-9 has been paid to the mortgagee of the land

NOTE—Contn sheet

VD 0561—GIB—3750—1-6-22

Serial No.

and a sum of Rs 519-8-3 has credited to the Savings Bank account. As it is not possible to say how the balance of Rs 1412-8-0 has disposed of, the Inam Enq. recommends that this amount may also be credited to the Inamdar: the delay in preferring the claim being excused.

(1) One of a sum of Rs 6662-9-4 thus credited to S. B. Account, a sum of Rs 406-6-0 was invested in 6½% State Loan and a sum of Rs 4750 in 7% State Loan: and the balance of Rs 1518-15-2 is in S.B. deposit as the credit of the Inamdar.

As regards the Sajjada's request for payment of interest on the Compensation amount from the date of the award till the amount

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0501—GPB—3750—1922

R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 125 of 192

18

Serial No

depositing in the Savings Ba-
The Muz. Comr. states that interest
is admissible from the date of
taking possession of the Lands
to the date of payment of
Compensation and that this interest
may be allowed into the Savings
Banks. He adds that further
enquiries will be made if the
Makkandars produce references
to show that the properties were
taken possession of before the
date of payment of Compensation
amount into the Savings Bank
Secretr. for orders.

(1)
7.3.25: Orders are required on
the points noted in paragraph 2
& of the Muz Comr's letter Dt 1.
(1) Whether sum of Rs 1412-8-0 which
the Makkandars did not claim in
time may be credited to the Mahars
funds; & (2) What interest may be
allowed for the period from the
date of taking possession of the lands
to the date of payt of Compensation
into the Savings Banks

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1.8.22

Mrs 125-21

Some further enquiry as to their sales as also as regards the payt & the makandura the compensation awarded for house sites req? to ascertain if the Com^rs' letter is rec^d and the Mun Com^r maybe asked to write the same

Sd A
7/2/25

Orders are required as the suggestions made by the Municipal in paras 2, 5 & 6.

7.3.25

Rec 1.3
12 3 25

Rec Secy
29/3 1st May
19

With regard to the payment of Rs 14(2/87- claimed by the makandura & recommended by the Municipal in para 3 of the Com^r Calto. it should be ascertained, whether the

Muz. File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

this amt was drawn under. Unless I can be of assistance with regard to the matter or to any other claim on his behalf, or credited to his account, I will not be bothered to sanction this payment to the Maker now at his disposal & time the Court may be asked to make further enquiries in the matter & report to his record

In regard to payment of interest on claim for any interest due from the date of award to the date of the award, should there be made before the A.A. Off the Court may be recognised now, as it has to be paid this A.A. Off with account with the award.

with the Exhibits & interest from the date & the award this is amt was paid to the Court or placed to this credit (or Court of this authority) in the S.B. or Nat. investments. 4 Statements showing the amount of this interest have been with the

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

at the Savings Bank rate & interest

Mug. 125-21. 1331

asked to be sent up. The source from which his expenditure is to be met being also pointed out. The payment of his interest is to be made

[illegible]

D/c Srinivasan
[illegible]
5.4.25

Issue
8.4.25

8C 26
To the Chingleput Commr. No. 1496 d. 16.4.25. 26
Requests him to institute further enquiries in the matter & report the result to Govt for orders with his opinion thereon, & requests him to send up a stmt showing the amount of interest that will be due to the Mahkamdar from the date of the award.

[illegible]
17/4

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 125 of 1921..

Serial No.

25. From, The Dy. C Letter no. 197 dy 3.5.1928.

Submits further report called for in Sl 26.

)2— As regards the makkavan's claim to a sum of Rs 1412-8-0 refd to in Sl 4, it is seen that in G.O. no. R 12876—7/d R. 5724, dy 11.5.1920, The payment of the amount has been ordered

5 Re Compensation from Kalbagh Municipality on account of the acquisition of makkan S.No.2, the Asst Com says that it is not possible to consider this claim at this distance of time while in the matter of Compensation from Kalbagh funds on account of the acquisition of ... S.No.5, the Supdg. Surveyor says that there are no records in his office, as the Survey

4 Submitted

Dy. 17.5.28 19/5/28

757 A copy of this is sent to the Dy. C. for his information

As directed in the Survey I made enquiries at Kalbagh Office & it is not possible to get the records.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6-15.

1502
31

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.	
28.	To, the Inam Comr., Letter no 2383, Dy 16.6.1928. Enquires whether any amount is still due to the Makan.
29.	From, the Inam. Comr., Letter no. 197 Dy 4.7.1928. Reports that a sum of Rs 1412-8-0 is still due to the Makan. 7) On his present Comr the M.C. States that out of a sum of Rs 1931-13-3 Sanctioned in L.R under Dy 11.5.1920, a sum of Rs 519-5-3 was paid, The balance Still Due being Rs 1412-8-0, But from his previous Comr Dy 4.4.1923 (under an extract of Bangalore D C's letter Dy 14.3.1921) addressed to the Comptr, it is seen that The full amount Sanctd in the Said order is kept under S.B. deposit, interest being paid to the Makkadaran as it falls due. Dft. enquiring submr.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

194

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwg. File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No. 30

To, the Inwg Comr, Letter no 106 Dy 17/1/28.
Enquiries whether under the circumstances stated, any balance is still due to the Dargah in question.
From, the I.C. Letter no. 197 Dy 2.8.28
Reports that he has already submitted in his previous Comn Dy 13-10-1923 that amounts now claimed, were not actually credited to the S. B. Account in the name of the Dargah.
may the entire file be forwarded unofficially to the Comptr to the favr of his opinion for an expression of his opinion after scrutiny of the Treasury accounts as to whether the amounts now claimed on behalf of the Dargah, were not actually credited to its accts in the first instance.
Submitted for orders.

Noted 2 8/8/28
Forwarded to the Comptroller's office unofficially for favor of a note on the points raised by the Inwg Dept.
6/8/28

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 6 AUG. 1928 BANGALORE.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

194

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 192

L.O. No. 230/8/29 17/1/29

Serial No. 5

Returned with compliments.

2. Out of the compensation amounts said to be due to the party as per ~~the~~ Kuzrai Commissioner's letter dated 13th February 1925, a sum of Rs.6,662-9-4 only is found credited to Savings Bank Account No.6247 as per ~~the~~ ledgers available in this office i.e., from 1897. Particulars of credit are noted in the margin.

Date of credit.	Amount credited.		
5-1-1917	418	0	0
7-9-1921	3,049	0	0
7-2-1922	1,691	0	0
7-6-1923	985	4	1
1-8-1923	519	5	3
	Rs.6,662	9	4

Offg: Comptroller.

Submitted for orders

[illegible]
23/1/29

The makkans total claim is for Rs 10097-8-0 which consists of the following items :—

(1) 418-00
(2) 3901-14-0
(3) 985-4-1
(4) 1743-6-5
(5) 3049-0-0
10097-8-6

From the comptrollers figures, it will be seen that there is complete agreement between the makkans claims as regards items 1 3 & 5 for 418-00, 985-4-1 & 3049-0-0 respectively. The only variations are in the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01714—GPB -30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

in items 2 & 4.

A As regards item 4, the M.C. has stated that a sum of Rs 52-6-3 only of Rs 1743-6-3 was deposited towards Kani Ingam & the balance of 1691-00 was credited to Savings bank. With this explanation, the amounts agrees with the figures furnished by the Comptroller & may therefore be taken as verified.

The only other item is one for Rs 3901-14-0 and as against this the Comptroller has taken credit of only Rs 519-5-3. From the D.C.'s letter no. M.C. 663 of 14.3.21, it will be seen that that only Rs 3901-14-0 a sum of Rs 1970-0-0 was paid to the mortgagee of the land purchased by Govt. and the balance of Rs 1931-13-3 was placed in the Savings bank in 1920 in the name of the mahabasa. Only the latter sum the Comptroller has shown Rs 519-5-3 as having been kept in deposit. The present claim is therefore for Rs (1931-13-3 — 519-5-3) = Rs 1412-8-0. ~~The D.C. may perhaps be asked to explain for this difference as soon. have not yet been paid any portion of the difference.~~

"D" ~~Ag.~~ Ag 2579-23. The M.C. states that this amount

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-23

194

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Imp File No. (25 of 1921.

Serial No.

was not actually credited to the savings bank account as there is no reference to it in the Treasury account. He recommends that this amount may be credited to the Malkan, the delay in preparing the claim being explained. - Mr McMahon noted rejected & points out the 25th fund from which the amount can be paid.

2865/31

Yes 18/2/29

18/2

Mr Fuller 18/2

Mr Fuller 16.2.29.

31 2.

The Imp Comm.

No 1674 of 22-2-29

Requests to point out the source from which a sum of Rs 1412-8-0 being the balance of compensation awards stated to be due to Attari in the Dera Ghazi Khan District

SMS (A)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

32 From the S. C. Letter no ? & Dt 3.8.1929.

Reports that if at all the encroachment has to be built, it has to be done in the Cundens Depts land municipality & that these Depts are not prepared to consider the claim on this distance of time, and that the claim should be entertained as it was not pressed in time ——

Submitted. Why the Mugra Govt should be deprived of income is a point for consideration. It is for the Mugrai Dept to press the claim to be recovered.

8/8 The following Statement will show all the Compn amt awarded for Lands taken up on several occasions

A for burial grounds & Salvage Exh. 3901 14-0

B Model Farm 1858-14-7 — Rs 4118/11 5-1/17

C. For Bamboo Bazaar. 3049-0-0 — on 27924

D for Dal Bagh Lake Exh. 985-4-1 — on 27623

E for Vishrampur Exh. 1743-6-5 — on 27222

Of the above after making payments to mortgagees &c. The balance of the items B C & E were credited to S. B. assets on dates noted against them

~~Note~~ A. alone remains to be

flag ✓

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

explained. The mujrai comm in his letter of 2/3rd may 1928 explains how the compensation amount was calculated the steps with of Rs 1640-10-0; awarded for S nos 10 & 16, lands acq^d for extension of Lal Bagh, the mortgagees of these lands and of S no 2, acq^d for Municipal grounds were Paid, leaving a balance of Rs 519 5 3 which was kept in Rev. Deposit, wh was lapsed in Sept 1892 This amount was recently traced & credited to the S Rs acct. in favour of the Makkan as regards the balance of the amount of Compensation to be paid after payment of - 848-12-0 to the mortgagee of S no 5, acq^d for Lal bagh extension, no explⁿ is forth coming. Similarly, it is clear that ~~that~~ Rs 817-8-0 being the amt of compensation awarded for S no 2, acq^d for Municipal ground has not been paid It is these two amounts

595-0-0 for Lal bagh Exⁿ
817-8-0 for Municipal grounds
1412-8-0

} that have to be paid was from the statement

in file no 372-19-2, it is clear that these two amounts have not been paid by the Lal Bagh & the municipality. It appears the mujrai comm will be requested to pay out these amounts to the two Depts - (Botanic gardens & the municipality)

10/8

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20 4 29

evenue
at 1113 File No. of 192

Serial No.

R 2

From paras 83 & 88 of the note it will be seen that a sum of Rs 1412-8-0 is due to Mr Markham on account of compensation due to the acquisition of certain lands for the Burial Ground & Extension of Ratlabagh.

From statement in Rte 0/0 377-15 it will be seen that the Hindu Burial Ground & Cemetery Committee retained of Ratlabagh - Rs 1500-00 + Rs 140-10-0 + Rs 1443-12 and the balance of this amount, Rs 1570-0-0 have been paid to the mortgagee of the land & Rs 575-5-3 have been paid to the Savings Bank account.

The question was as to how the balance of Rs 1412-8-0 was to be met. The Municipality says that the Hindu Cemetery & Gardens Dept have to pay this with interest but the Hindu Cemetery have stated that it is not possible to consider this claim at this distance of time & the Gardens Dept have stated that no records are available in that office.

The Municipality says that this claim for this amount need not be entertained further as it was not forwarded in time. This is for consideration

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

R. H.

It is seen from the Registrar's letter of 3/5/18 that the Compensation of 7640-10 awarded on account of Kallayi extension lands was appropriated for mortgages of land acquired for burial ground & cemetery & for purchase of Kallayi extension. How the money paid for Kallayi extension grounds came to be appropriated for lands required for Mudel is not clear. The whole transaction was perhaps treated as one to be paid by Mudel after the whole of the balance has to be paid by Mudel only. Otherwise all of the funds from which these charges were met are supposed to have come from the Gardens Department, then Mr Mudel will have to pay Rs 813-8-3 & this not by the Gardens Dept. But it is stated that no records are forthcoming in any of these offices as to the amount paid or due by Mudel. It is not known whether the records relating to acquisition & payment for these lands will not be available in the Registrar's office. If further enquiry about the matter will have to be dropped at this stage, perhaps.

1877
1460

28/12/18

WD 01684—GPB—10,000—20-1-20

199

Serial No.

I do not think it is fair to the institution to allow the matter to drop -

When an award has been made for acquisition of land by a competent authority, the amounts due under it must be paid by to the awardee -

Is not a copy of the award available for reference ? Why were part payments made ?

This may be looked into and the case may be noted on further -

15/8/29

Copy of the letter is submitted for perusal and orders.

19/8/29.

17/8

17/8

38 Do. No. 399 dt 12-8-29 regarding the land acquisition case of [illegible]

[illegible]

20/8

34. From, the Dy C Letter no 42 dt 25.9.29 Reports that the D C's finding on the points raised in Sl. 33, is correct and requests that for reasons stated by him, this ref. may be removed from the list of suo cases pending

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20 1 29

Serial No.

Reply for over 6 months, and that action may kindly be taken to have the claims settled by the municipality and the Garden Dept.—

Submitted
AV
26/9/29

As the M.C's report in the case has received on 5.8.29 (Sl. 32) & dealt with, this Ref. should not have been shown as pending M.C's reply for over 6 months. It will now be removed from this list if directed.

III
V
[illegible]

Sl 84 ✓

L.R. File no 516-06(RS1-14 sent to Genl. Secn. returned for ref. of Res Bx (Mrs D. 10 ✓)

35

Letter No: 42/29-30 dated 24.6.30
From the Mng. Commr.

Stating that neither the records nor the award orders are available and recommending that in the circumstances stated by the D.C. Bellary, it is proposed to pay to the Dharga a sum of Rs 2901- in full settlement of its claim for the Compensation due to it may be [illegible]

112 According to the previous discussions in the notes and recommendations made by

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mng File No. 125 of 192 /

Serial No.	
A	The Mng. Commr. in his previous Communications dated 9. 2. 1925 and
H	3rd May: 1928; the amount recommended to be due to the Dargah is Rs 1412-8-0.
	Kind reference is invited to paras 97-99 of the Mks. Abo.
	In the present Communication the Dy: Commr's recommendation which is agreed to by the Mng. Commr. is that a sum of Rs 2900/- may be paid to the Dargah in full settlement of its claim.
	The several recommendations received on this behalf in the past do not so much agree. Mr. K. Chandy as Mng. Commr. recommended not without some hesitation with the delay in forwarding the claim might be excused
	The present Mng. Commr. who endorses the proposal of the Dc. to pay a sum of Rs 2900/- to the authorities of the Dargah as being equitable. proposed in his letter dated 3. 5. 28 that claim

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

913/16/7

preferred, in time should not be entertained 116 However as has been remarked by the Fnd: Secy: in para 105 of the notes the Account due has to be paid under this for which orders what Amount may have to be paid to the Darga in the absence of the award.

Subd. 117 The records are placed below in a separate File Board.

5 MDK 14/7.

H. Le 4/7

118. To Comptroller with the Compliments for the use of furnishing any information bearing on this Secretariat with reference to A in the P W D

Lr D No 8/30-31 with Enclosures

COMPTROLLER'S 45 Gen. 23 JULY 1930 PWD

22/7/30

68/M. A.D 28/7/30.

119 Returned with compliments.

From the lapsed statement of Revenue Deposit for September 1892 it is seen that against the original deposit of Rs.2,698-14-0 relating to No.1038/54 of 17th August 1889 two payments amounting to Rs. 753-11-3 as noted in the margin have been made so far.

7-4-04	Rs.234	6 0
30-7-23	,, 519	5 3
	753	11 3

COA

W D 01684—GPB—30 000—20 4 29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud. File No. 125 of 1921

Serial No.

A

120. The original register of deposits relating 1889 is not available and it is therefore not possible to say on what account the total amount was originally deposited.

121 The sum of Rs.519-5-3 which was withdrawn from Deposits on 31st August 1923 has been kept in Government Savings Bank in the name of the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk.

For Comptroller.

Subd for orders (vide para 118 of the notes)

2572 2-9-30

(Secy)

OFFICE OF THE MINERAL CONCESSIONS IN MYSORE BANGALORE
RECEIVED
0 SEP 1930

To Mysore Consul with Compliments for favour of taking if any information is available in his office re- A Supra - para 120.

30-8-30

8-9-30

Returned with compliments.

It is seen from the report of the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk dated 31st October 1921 (Flag B) in the vernacular file that the Taluk Sheristedar who was deputed to the District Treasury to ascertain no the amount of re- tion which was sent by the ue to the District

Note—Contn. Sheet

SAM

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

2030

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 125 | App. F. III—2] of 192 ||.

Serial No.

District Treasury was disposed of, reported that a cheque for Rs.3,838-14-0 on account of compensation award was received by the District Treasury Officer, encashed on 17th August 1889 and placed on the same day in Revenue Deposit No.1038/'54 in that out of this amount a sum of Rs.1,140/- was paid on 16th December 1890 and the balance of Rs.2,698-14-0 transferred to 19 Miscellaneous Credit, in lapsed deposit statement dated 22nd September 1892. The Amildar further reported that in the absence of the original acquisition records which had been sent to the Civil Court in 1891-92 it was not possible for him to say whether this amount included the compensation amount awarded to the institution.

C

3902

4

The above records may be got from the Civil Courts and perused, if available.

Muzrai Commissioner.

To Probate Branch for favour of noting if the records are traced to be got from the civil courts concerned for reference, and if so whether action could be taken early.

15.10.30

1893/P.

It is not clear to which Civil Court the records in question were sent in 1891 and in connection with what case from

Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

2934

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.

them. These details are necessary before the High Court is addressed in the matter.

Mercerai Branch) 17/10

Dft. Calling for information is submitted for orders

27/10

Issue

28.10.30

To

36. The Mez Comr.
No. 9498 of 29-10-30
Enquiries to which civil Court the records were sent & the particular case in connection with wills which [illegible] are still pending.

31/ letter No 42/29-30 of 17.11.30 from the Mercerai Commissioner stating that information required is contained in the Vernacular records sent vide this office letter No 42/29-30 of 24.6.30.

"So far as it relates to 1891-92, there is only a mention of Meerasidar Commissioner & no other Civil Court is found mentioned in the Vernacular Records

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22.4.30

eneral and evenue Secr ariat

733

File No.

of 1930—1

App. F. III—2] (89

Serial No.

133 It appd, this will be sent to D.C. to furnish information required in para 127.

29/11 [illegible] 1/12/30. Fl. Secy) 132 VS 1.12.30

4223. 2.12.30.

133 Supplr

D Supplr

A.O No. 57/30-1

Rmy

134 Forwarded with Compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner for favour of furnishing the information required

By order

Subramanyasastri

2.1.1931

for Secy to Govt., Rev Dept

590 29-3.

Returned with compliments.

135) It is seen from the vernacular file that the records relate to Original Suit No.30 of 1892 on the file of the Nandidrug Division District Judge's Court.

7 JAN 1931 VS 19.1.31

[illegible]

~~For~~ Muzrai Commissioner.

Rmy in charge

16/1/31

136 Information furnished in para 135 is for the purpose of para 127 of the Note.

Law Secy

19/1

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—GPB—60,000—8 7 30

1892/93

Mur File No. 125 of 193 | General and Reven Secretariat

The High Court may be requested to kindly obtain & forward the ~~xx~~ records in O.S. 30 of 1892 on the file of the Vaudidung Dy. Dt Judge's Court, for ~~inspection of Govt~~ reference & return - A draft letter is sent up and it may after approval by the Govt Secy issue from the Mur. Sectt.

Mur Sectt) 19.1.31

H. Secy) 20.1.31

20-1-31

Issue 21.1.31

Letter no 1641 of 21.1.31 to the Registrar High Court requesting for return and return the records of the O.S. No 300 of 1892 on the file of the Vaudidung Division of the Hon'ble Judges Court.

Letter no 881/30-31 of 6.2.31

General and Revenue Secretariat M 4-2 3 File No. 125 of 1931.

Serial No. 38

From the Registrar High Court forwarding for reference and return records in O.S. No. 30 of 1892 on the file of the Navidrug Division.

1st Asst. This maybe refd to M.C. H.O. both the records now received for furnishing the information called for. Vide portion remarked in pencil - Para 124 of the note

28/2

H. Lacy 1st A.S. 28.2.31

Yes 28.2.31.

1st Asst. in charge may kindly see the remarks of M.C. & H.

Forwarded unofficially with Compliments to the Mysore Commissioner for kindly furnishing information called for in para 123.

Mys. H.O. No. 65-30. 8.4-3-31.

By order
V. Subramanyam
for Secretary to Govt.
Financial Dept.

MAR 1931

P.T.O.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

File No 145 of 192 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

42 25/4/31
29-30.

Returned with compliments.



The Comptroller wants to know in his note of 28-8-1930 on what account the total amount of Rs.2698-14-0 was originally deposited. From the papers received from the court through the Financial Secretary, the position appears to be as follows:-

S.Nos.10,13,14,15 & 16 were acquired ~~in~~ in 1889 for the extension of the Lalbagh. An award of a total sum of Rs.3838-14-0 was --- passed for these 5 survey numbers as per --- details noted below:-

S.No.	Description Sarkar or Inam.	Extent.	Award.
10	Attaullasha Darga Inam	12 acres.	1500/-
13.	Shanbhogue Inam	1 A,35 G.	234/6/-
14.	Part Sarkar	3 " 28 "	462/8/-
	for other items.		2/2/-
14.	Part do.	1 " 14 "	168/12/-
	for other items		971/4/-
15.	Gangadhareswaraswami Inam	2 " 34 "	356/4/-
	for other items.		3/-0-0
16.	Attaullasha Darga Inam	1" 5 "	140/10/-
	Total.	22 A 36 G.	3838/14/-

One Rame Gowda-owner of part of S.No.14- was paid Rs.1140/- out of the above amount of

Rs.

Note-Contn Sheet

1114

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat M.U.2 File No. 163 of 193 1 .

Serial No.

Rep a

Rs.3838-14-0 on 16-12-1890 in addition to a sum of Rs.815/- subsequently awarded to him. This left a balance of Rs.2698-14-0 which is referred to in the Comptroller's note.

1471 2. It appears from the record now available, that out of the sum of Rs.1640-10-0 awarded for S.Nos.10 & 16 belonging to the Attavulla Sha -- Darga and included in the sum of Rs.3838-14-0, a sum of Rs.1121-4-9 was paid to the mortgagee of part of S.Nos.10 and 2 and the balance of ----- Rs.519-5-3 was credited to the Savings Bank --- account of the Darga.

1147 3. The payment of Rs.234-6-0 referred to in the Comptroller's note may have possibly been made towards the compensation of S.No.13 as the award amount for that land is the same figure.

It is seen from the above that the ------- compensation for S.No.2 and 5 now claimed, is not included in the sum of Rs.2698-14-0 referred to in the Comptroller's note.

J Manjagopal

Muzrai Commissioner.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 02328- GPB- 10,000 -22-4-30

Serial No.

been traced & credited on 31/8/23 in
The Savings Bank Account of the Dmpa.
A Sum of Rs 1412-8-0 is as per this one.
This is one time fact that the rate of
Compensation, in Settling the claims
of certain Mortgagees of these lands
(Vide para 6 of Govt no R 2469-73/C.R
578-06-11 of 5/11/12) was approved
in fixing Compensation to the said Dmpa.
While in fact the rate of compensation in respect of lands taken for
Municipal grounds
allowed by the Municipal Board
prior to 1891 is Rs 60/- per acre
(Vide perm of 14/6/91 from the Dmpa
authorities & also report of 14/6/91
of the Bangalore Amildar - in the
records placed in the file). Besides this
The Hakkim people appear to be in
receipt of a sum of 5-08/- on account
of the improvement of the land & also
of the Wells therein.

Vide Para y. A Sum of
Rs 125/- per acre is stated to be the
Compensation as seen from the Maharzar
of 1893 in respect of lands - S. No 5.
While a Sum of 175/- per acre is fixed
later on account of these lands.
In this Connection it is respectfully
urged that after paying the Several

y.

Note–Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. Misc. File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No.

151 Question of payment of balance of compensation amount on account of lands belonging to Uttaulla Shah Darga, Bangalore, acquired for various Government purposes.

152 The following lands were acquired for the purposes noted against each:-

*	Land acquired for	model farm	Compensation Rs. 418/-
	do	Vasveswarapuram extension	Rs. 1691/- (1743-6-0 minus 52-6-0 Kandaya)
	do	Lal-bagh Main gate extension	Rs. 985-8-1
	do	Bamboo Bazaar	Rs. 3049-0-0

* These items have been finally settled, and compensation therefor has been credited in favour of the Darga.

Annipura village, Kasaba Hobli. Bangalore. (Details as on 14.4.1920) (x 455).

S.No.	A.	G.	Purpose of acquisition	Award amount as per G.O.No.R. 2469-73/L.R. 518-06-11 dated 5-11-1912.	Paid to Mortgagees	Balance due to the Darga.
2	7	7	For Hindu burial-ground.	Rs. 817-8-0	Rs. 326-0-11	Rs. 491-7-1
	1	0	For Mahomedan cemetry.			
5	8	10	For extension of Lal-bagh	Rs. 1443-12-0	Rs. 848-12-0	Rs. 595-0-0
10	12	0	-do-	Rs. 1500-0-0	Rs. 795-3-10	Rs. 704-12-2
16	1	5	-do-	Rs. 140-10-0	...	Rs. 140-10-0
				Rs. 3901-14-0	Rs. 1970-0-9	Rs. 1931-13-3

This sum was ordered on G.O. No.R.12876-78 D.R. 372-19-2 dated 11-5-1920 to be deposited in Government Savings Bank in the name of the Darga. It

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

may here be noted that this order has been addressed only to the Rev. Commr. and the Dy. Commr., Bangalore but not to the Comptroller.

In reply to an Interpellation before the Legislative Council, the Dy. Commr. in his letter dated 14-3-1921 has stated that the above amount of --- Rs. 1931-13-3 was placed in the Savings Bank in May 1920 in the name of the Darga. In G.O. No.2050-1/ Muz. 125-21-2 dated 27th October 1921 Government ordered the compensation of Rs. 3049/- awarded to the above Darga on account of land for shop-sites on the Hoskote Road also to be deposited in the Savings Bank account on behalf of the Darga. In this Office letter No. 2847 dated 6-12-21 to the Comptroller both the above sums aggregating to ~~Rxxx~~ Rs.*4980-13- were ordered to be withdrawn from S.B. and invested in the 7% loan of 1921. The Comptroller replied on 9-12-21 to the effect that he had requested the Dy. Commr., Bangalore to adjust Rs. 4975/- on the purchase of the above bonds, as it was the nearest denomination of bonds available for the said amount. With reference to a petition from the Makhandar a reply has been given stating that Rs. 4975/- has been invested in the 7% Loan on 4-4-1922. No action on the above lines seems to have been taken as on 1-8-1922 the Comptroller requested information whether Rs. 4750/- might be withdrawn from the S.B. and credited to State Loan. The Pass Book forwarded by the Comptroller is stated in the notes to have made no mention of Rs. 1931-13-3 but had entries of credit of Rs. 3049/-

1931-13-3
3049- 0-0

Note -Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

on 20-7-1921 and 1691 on 27-2-1922 which latter represents the award amount for lands acquired for Visveswarapuram Extension - (Rs. 1743-6-3 minus Rs. 52-6-3 Kandayam i.e. Rs. 1691/-). The Deputy Commissioner was requested in this Office letter No. 1108 dated 6-9-1922 to forward to Government the Pass Book wherein Rs. 1931-12-3 was deposited in the name of the Darga as stated by him in his letter of 14-3-1921. To this there has been no specific reply so far. // On 30-7-1923 Rs. 519-5-3 was paid to the credit of the Darga out of a deposit of Rs. 2698-14-0 (Vide Paras. 119 and 148 of the Note It will thus be seen that a sum of Rs. 1412-8-0 as per rate sanctioned in the orders of 5th Nov. 1912 and 11-5-1920 is still panding payment to the Darga on account of lands acquired for the extension of Lal-bagh and for forming the Hindu and Mahomedan Cemetries. This amount is ~~not~~ traceable ~~to~~ under any ~~this~~ deposit.

The P.U.D. further raises the question of a difference in the acrage of S.No. 2 referred to above. The statement submitted by the R.C. in 1920 mentions it as 8 acres and 7 Guntas. Whereas it is now made out in the P.U.D. to be 10 acres 34 guntas thus showing an excess of 2 acres and 27 guntas. // Further, ~~add.~~ A claim is ~~also~~ made to the extent of Rs. 400-8-0 paid as interest ~~in~~ to the mortgagee of S.Nos 2 and 10. // Kind reference is invited to the minutes of the then Member in charge at para. 69 as regards the claim for interest in favour of the Darga which is now recommended

Rs 519-5-3 is Tm balance after payment of Rs 328-0-11 plus Rs 795-3-10 ie Rs 1121-4-9 to a mortgagee out of Rs 1500/- plus Rs 140-10 ie Rs 1640-10-0 awarded for S.Nos 10 & 16.

Vide L.R. Fils R

Neither the payment nor the credit to the Treasury of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mis 3 File No. 125 of 192 .

Serial No.

recommended at a lumpsum figure of Rs. 820/-

Orders are therefore necessary as regards the payment of (1) Rs. 1412-8-0 which from the records does not appear to have been credited to the Darga.

(2) Additional claim for lands said to have been given to Appu Reddy out of the Darga lands as exchange lands for having acquired his land for Lal-bagh extension Extension.

(3) The loss of Rs. 400/- said to have been incurred by Darga by the delay in payment of compensation amount with the consequent accumulation of interest payable to the mortgagee of S.Nos. 2 and 10

(4) The interest if any that has to be paid to the Institution.

(5) The source from which all or any of the above amounts that may be ordered to be paid has to be met.

I submit with the above note the directed.
For orders.
26/6/31
Fin. Secy(T.S.) 26.6.31

I Sg To comply with the above note and furnish remarks if any, in the light of the above note.
26.6.31

GENL & REV 1 JUL 1931 21.0 88

INSTRUCTIONS OFFICE 29 JUN 1931 21-0.631 BANGALORE

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

48

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 175 of 1921.

Serial No.



No.E.II. 54. Dated 29-7-1931.
Returned with compliments.

The Muzrai Commissioner states (Vide para 148 of the file) that a sum of Rs.1,121-4-9 had already been paid to the mortgagees out of Rs.1,640-10-0 awarded for S.Nos.10 & 16. But the particulars date on which and the treasury from which this amount was drawn and the deposit or other account to which it was discharged are not known. After deducting this sum of Rs.1,121-4-9, no amount will be available for payment to the Darga from the deposit of Rs.2,698-14-0 referred to by this office in para 119 of the file.

2. As regards claims in respect of Survey Nos. 2 and 5, no verification by this office is possible in the absence of details as to when the amount was drawn from the Treasury and how the amount drawn was accounted for.

For Comptroller.

U.

It is noted that the above does not throw much light on the case in question. The claim of the Dargah is belated and as this statement is difficult to say whether the Compensation amount has been received or not. The concerned departments.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 110 of 1931.

Serial No.

of the fact that they have no record into them. In view of the paucity of funds it is for consideration whether the Dewan Magistrate should be given 15/- of land in consultation with the R.C.

E.S. 4/8

(1) Submitted for orders on para 156 in view to the Comptroller's note at Subpara 2 of para 160.

M.S.M. 4/8/31. H. Leey

Please see para 2 of Comptr's note on page 48

Is it not possible to pursue the investigation and get information as to how the award amounts of Srs 2 & 5 were dealt with?

5/8/31

D/n asked for records (It is doubtful if the M.C. could enlighten us further)

E.S. M.S.M. 10/8

Issue

11.8.31

TYPING SECTION 11 AUG 1931 GENL & REVENUE SECTT.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—G P B—50 000—5 7 30

1114

File No. 23 of 1931 | General and Revenue Secretariat

No 252 of 13. 8. 31 to the 39.
Muzrai Commissioner calling
for additional information.

Letter no C 42/29-30 of 5.1.33 40
from the Muzrai Commissioner
stating that no more information
could be based than what is contained
in his letter of 26/6/30 and the copy
of the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter of
22/2/30. and stating that the
points raised by the Comptroller
are fully answered in the report
of 18/1/1910 of the Bangalore Taluk
Amildar.

It is respectfully submitted that
the P.W.D. does not furnish the
information called for in para 6(a)
infra.

The D.C. in his letter of 24/6/30
recommends sanction to the proposal
of the D.C. Bangalore to pay the
award amount to Abdulla Shah
Dargah Committee out of the General Revenues. The
Govt. Treasury Fund is in a deficit balance
& does not admit of the incurring expenditure
of the kind.

In para 11 of the D.C's
letter of 24/6/30, there is a request to
the Municipality having declined
to pay the award amount at this stage of time.

Govt
No 18/31.

2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 125 of 1924

Serial No.

When the matter has reached this stage, it is for consideration whether the city Municipality may not be asked ~~to~~ to make arrangements for the payment of the amount due from them & they said to show by facts & figures that they have already paid the Compensation amount — The Jaidad Dept have also prayed to pay. It is also a matter for consideration in view of what the Comptr and the M.C. have said so far, whether the question of land grant in lieu of the Compensation claimed may not be granted

[illegible]

A perusal of the old records is necessary in this case before any final decision can be arrived at. The careful study of the old records goes to show that the report of the Dy C. Dy 17/24. 6. 30 (Flag 7) was a rather misleading one in as much as the statements made in para 5 to 20 & correct and the ~~remarks~~ further proposals & ~~remarks~~ contd in the preceding paragraphs based as they are on this incorrect statement are ipso facto unsound

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No. 17 of 1921 | General.a Secr

It was on the 6th of Nov. 1912 that f.o. in R 2469-73/L.R 518-06-11 was passed re the acquisition of S. no 2, 10, 16 & 5 of Anipura Village, Kasaba Hobli, B'lore Taluk and directed in para 5 therein that as there was a difference in extent acquired ~~which is to be recorded by~~ the D.C. ~~and~~ Std determine proceed the extent in S. no 2 acquired and see that the Compensation is paid to the Mahan for the track area taken up. (Flag R). On this the R C in his letter dt 14.4.20 reported that a sum of Rs 1931-13-3 was due to the Mahandar (For details please see Stt flag Z) and requested orders to deposit the same in the Govt Savings Bank as requested by the Mahandar. This was sanctd in G.O. dt 11.5.20 (Vide flag S). That the amount was placed in the Savings Bank in the name of the Mahan in May 1920 can be seen from the last two lines on page 2 of the B'lore D.C's letter dt 14.3.21 (Flag P). When the question of investing the whole amount in the Mysore Govt 7% loan Bonds was considered and instructions issued to the Comptroller in letter dt 6.12.21 (Flag J) to transfer the whole amount of Rs 4980-13-3 to the Loan Bonds. This amount is made up of Rs 1931-13-3 referred to above (Flag Z) + Rs 3049/- awarded for S. nos 127 & 128 of the Mahan acquired vide letter (Flag O).

d Revenue
ariat

Mr. File No. 125 of 1932-33

Serial No.



In intimation of having arranged to transfer the S.B. amount to the Shikarpur Bonds was given to the Manager of the Bank by the Secy to Govt. Muzaffarpur Dept. on 4.4.21 (Vide flag V), in accordance with the Comptroller's letter dt 9.12.21, Rs 4975/- was transferred to Shikarpur Bonds (flag J).

It will thus be seen that there is unmistakable evidence in the records used to show that the full amount of Compensation has been used and credited to the Bahan. The Pol's letter (flag) is clearly not correct.

10/2/33

For Secy)
(Sir [illegible])

2/3/33.

The above facts may be intimated to the Pol and 2/3
his further report called for.

4.3.33

1/3/3

Govt Pleader 17/3

17/3

No 1376 dt 23.3.1933 to the Muzaffarpur Commissioner

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

745

File No. 125 of 1931. General and Revenue Secretariat

Stating that in the circumstances stated herein there is unmistakable evidence to show that the full amount of Compensation refused to Rajam has been secured and directed the Collector to call for a further report -

41

Draft reminder submd.
no 6/10/34

Issued No 556 dt 13.10.34 to the Board of Revenue

42

Letter No 42/29-30 dt 18.4.30 from the Board of Commissioners intimating a further report in the matter.

It is the m.c. in para 4 of the P.W.D. says that Compensation in respect of S.No. 205 are still due to the Makkan. and the refer to paras 171-172

Please examine if what the Mr says is correct & put up. 31/8

GS 31/8

The Statement appended to the P.W.D. is not acceptable as S.No 10 alone of Annibesa village. Bangalore The belonging of the Dhobi in question was not acquired for Rs 1640-10-0 in Stamped documents.

TYPING 10. OCT. 193 GENL & REV. SEC.

175 Re: acquisition of lands belonging to Attanilla Scale Group Estate

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 1 of 193 .

Serial No.

19th) The M.C. accepts the position as explained by me in the notes above at paras 171 to 173 supra but states in para 2 of the P.W.D. (his letter Dy 15/18.4.36) that "the statement made by the D.C. in his letter No H.C. 663-20-21 Dy 14.3.31 that the whole sum was placed in the Savings Bank in the name of the Mahan in May 1920 is not correct in as much as the Savings Bank Book does not show any sum having been deposited from 1907 to 1923". This naturally leads to the question as to what became of the Compensation amount awarded viz Rs 1412-8-0 and which has been credited to the Mahan. The D.C. in his letter Dy 30/3/36 to the M.C. copy of which has been sent with the P.W.D. has stated that "an attempt was made to get the original acq. records but the papers could not be traced and the attempt was unsuccessful". In these circs it is for orders whether the sum of Rs 1412/8/- may be ordered to be paid to the Mahan to be paid and if so from what funds. Perhaps the amount may be ordered to be paid from the State Funds & the matter closed. (If any may be consulted)

This does not seem to be correct. Pl. verify

Personally doubt if Contained that the Amount is the same amount as recommended by the D.C. Kegalle in his letter Dy 30-5-35 which was revised by the M.C.

Gen: Secy)

3/10/

Yes

12/10

14/10/35

Please submit file

View has been taken by M.C. 1.7.10

NOTE—Contn. Sheets

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

56 Re: acquisition of Alla 117 Survey 108 L

File No. 125 325 of 1921.

187 The definite statement made by the DC in 1920 that the amount was credited to the Mukam cannot be treated as incorrect merely because in the SB account we know of there was no credit between 1907 and 1923.
Was there only one account in the name of the Mukam? It is possible that there were more - It sometimes happens that there is an account in the name of Mehmildar for an institution and one in the name of the manager.
Could this be verified?

Mvd
2/11/35
2/11/35

188 This respectfully submitted that in the absence of S.B. Deposit Account books it is found not possible to make a Verification as directed —
189 D/f Calling for the name Addr — 190

This won't do.
Please put up amended draft as instructed.
11/11/35

GENS.

191 D/f Subd —
192 For perusal before issue
Gen: Secy 193) Gvd 12/11/35
194) 12/11/35

1047

Claim for Compensation in respect of Allauddin & Shah Dunya Lands Kalabagh

57

[Sup. F. III—2]

eneral and Revenue Secretariat — Muz File No. 125 of 1921.

Serial No	
43	Letter no 637 dy 15-11-1935 from Muzaffargarh Commissioner calling for additional report in the light of instructions issued therein.
44	Letter no C 42/29-30 dy 26.6.37 from the Muzaffargarh Commissioner stating that there is only one S.B. account in the name of Hazarat Allauddin Shah Dunya near Kalabagh Bank and not 2 as reported by the D.C. Muzaffargarh.

Ans^a 15/11/35

GENERAL SECRETARY
2 AUG. 1937
819

195 Information furnished by the M.C. is not in accordance with para 194 (4) figures

(195) 2.8.37 (196) 4.8.37

The D.C. reported that the amount was credited to the Makhan S.B. account. The M.C. says that this does not seem to have been done. A definite statement made by the D.C. cannot be discounted in this manner unless the S.B. Cash Book we have does not show an entry corresponding to the D.C's report. It is possible that it was credited to an account recently opened. Will the Treasury be able to give information whether any new account was opened in the month of D.C's report with the said amount as starting credit?

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8

Mug File No. 125 of 1921. General a Secr

any accts of that year become dead accts and is there any amount then with a balance of this order? Comptroller may be consulted

No 2169/37

15/12

Forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller, in part fulfilment of requisition, quoting the No. and date

1 Memorandum
2 Original Sanad

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT Mug 210 no. 12-37 22 SEP. 1937 MUZRAI BRANCH

S.B. 25/12/37

21/12/37

No. 478/Spl dated 8-12-37

U.O.No.280/S.B. dated 7th December 1937.

Returned with compliments.

There is only one S.B.account No.6247 standing in the name of the Makkan at the State Huzur Treasury and the credit for the amount of Rs.1931-13-3 sanctioned in G.O. dated 11-5-1920 does not appear in the S.B.ledgers. The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore, has been addressed to intimate whether the amount has been credited to any other S.B. account and on receipt of a reply further com-

This memo was sent

Serial No.

communication will be made. There is no chance of the amount going to dead account as the whole account should have been so transferred and the account No.6247 is current.

Comptroller.

3 DEC. 1937

Letter no 552/S.B of 19.5.1938 from the Comptroller furnishing the additional information in continuation of the above un-official note.

2. The D.C. in Encl of his letter no. D.C. 663/20-21 of 14.3.21 has reported that a sum of Rs 1931-13-3 was placed in the S.B. in May 1920 in the name of Makkan at the request of the Mukkandar Rs 3849/-- 3. The next item of Rs 3849/-- in connection with the Mosque Building at Dodballapura Village Bangalore Tk was deposited in S.B. in the name of the D.C. for a Sathwallu and was withdrawn for payment on Mukhtarnama dated 2/10/[illegible]

(Vide file no. 2050-1/Reg/21-22) 2/10/[illegible]

2. Taken in this original [illegible] 2847/Reg 125 [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—6-9-36

File No. 125 of 1921. | General

Serial No.

has asked to hold the above said amount of Rs 4980-13-3 in the new 7 p.c. Cent. Loan of 1921. Co'y of the letter was also forwarded to Bangalore for information (vide Memo no 2848/Mug/125-21 dt 20-12-21). 28 bonds of the value were not available. The Comptr has asked the D.C. to adjust Rs 4975/- (nearest denomination of bonds available) leaving a balance of Rs 5/13/3. Vide Comptr's report no. 4781/51/S 285-89.12.21 addressed to the Secretary in the Mug depn. The Mahalandra was also informed on 2/2/22 that the whole of the available amount has been invested in the 7% per cent. State Loan and that the money claimed by him could be handed over to him. 2 Dng

The Taluk authorities, after necessary verification, the Comptr forwarded S.B. Pass book no 6249 which is a deposit of Rs 3049 on 27-9-21 and another deposit of Rs 1691/- on 27-2-22. In lieu of 4975/-, The Comptr suggested a sum of Rs 4750/- only 4755/-. Then deposit may be arranged to be invested in the loan. Mug Collr 125-21-13-15 does not ask for the return of original papers. These papers 2

Rs 3049-0
+ 16-1-2
M. 6 1-

Serial No.

Lance-Corn "A" Hussain [illegible] - The D.C. Bangalore has been noted [illegible] to submit Pass Book containing a deposit of Rs 1931-13-3 in the name of the Naik has referred in his letter no 2 Dis 467 dt 2-10-22 that the Pass Book is not available.

2b From a close & detailed study of records in the case including the Vernacular ones, it may be stated that a sum of Rs 1412-8-0 is due to the Bungs as repeatedly by the D.C. More than once. The Sapada to himself responsible for the delay has he failed to select Suitable [illegible] for a number of years. Mention is made of a revenue deposit of Aug 1889 by the D.C. in his statement of 13.9.26 the Comptr refers to this deposit in his D.O note of 28/8/30 (Para 119 of the note in the file) Of the deposit of Rs 8-4 he says that Rs 75-3-11-3 have been paid. (No 1038/54 of 17-3-1927 From para 124 of the note it is seen that the sum of Rs 2698-4 was transferred to [illegible] It is not clear whether this is also to the credit of the Bungs the sum of Rs 1412-8 from the above sums & credit the same to the Bungs [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13 8 37

Re: Acq[n] of certain lands
belonging to the Hazrat Alavulla Shah Dargah
[illegible]

File No. of 193 . General and Secretariat

This, the Comp[r], it is told, perhaps may be able to trace the name in connection with the [illegible] certain deposits that are said to have occurred in the TK & DK Treasury then; it is also to point for consideration whether some lands formerly belonging to the Dargah [illegible]
The grant could be granted for the [illegible]
[illegible]

In his petition dated 17th Aug. 1923 the Sajjada of Alavulla Sha Darga near Lalbagh, Bangalore city requests payment of compensation amounting to Rs 10,098-12-0 for the lands belonging to the Darga, which were acquired by Govt. He also requests that the amount may be invested in house property. The Mugrai Comr. states in his letter no. 642/29-30 dated 15th April 1935 that, out of compensation of Rs 10,097-8-6 due to the makan, Rs 6662-9-4 was deposited in the savings Bank,
Rs 1970-0-0 was paid to mortgagees to whom some of the lands were mortgaged,
Rs 52-6-5 was paid on account of Land Revenue due on the lands and
Rs 1412-8-0 is due to the makan.
Total Rs 10,097-8-6

P. 21.

P. 21. D. 2

Acquisition of certain lands belonging to Aravelle Shri Durga near the Lalbagh, Bangalore city.

Serial No.

Cost of the sum of Rs 6662-9-4 deposited in the Savings Bank Rs 4750 was withdrawn and invested in 7 per cent State Loan bonds and Rs 406-6-0 was invested in 6½ per cent State Loan bonds. (Vide letter no. C.590/22-23 dated 10th Oct. 1923). As regards the balance of Rs 1412-8-0 due to the Makan the Amrgrai Comr. stated in his letter no. C.590/22-33 dated 9th Feby. 1925 that it is not possible to say how the balance of Rs 1412-8-0 referred above was disposed of and that the Makandars should have preferred their claim to the amount as it became due. In his letter no. 197/25-6 dated 2nd May 1928 the Amrgrai Comr. states that this sum of Rs 1412-8-0 is still due. But he states that the connected records are not available. The Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt., states in his letter no. C.S. 4152/32-33 dated 16th Feby 1933 that from local enquiry that this amount is still due to the Makandar. Art. 11 (f) of the Mysore Civil Acct. Code, Vol. I, states that Govt. are willing to consider and admit claims however old, provided the claim

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 0281—GPB—30,000—13 8 37

Acquisition of certain land belonging to Abdulla Sha Dargah near the Lalbagh, Bangalore city.

Muzrai File No. 125 of 1923. | General and Revenue Secretariat

can be successfully established by means independent evidence of a conclusive nature. In view of what is stated in the Muzrai Comr.'s letter of 9th Feby. 1925 and the Bangalore Dy. Comr.'s letter of 16th Feby. 1925 it is a point for orders whether the claim for the balance of Rs 1412-8-0 can be said to have been clearly established,

As regards the question of investment of the compensation in house property as requested by the petitioner, Govt. have passed orders already that it is inadvisible to invest it in house property. (Vide letter No. 885 Muzrai 125-21-23 dated 8th Janry. 1924).

30.6.25.

209. These papers relate to a claim on behalf of the Dargah for payment of arrears of Compensation due to it on account of certain lands belonging to it which were acquired for various purposes between 1879 & 1920. The arrears to be borne out by the encumbrance

Acquisition of certain lands belonging to Ataballa Sha Dargah near the Lalbagh, Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mysy. File No. 125 of 1938. — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

that a sum of Rs 1412.0-0 has still to be credited to the Darga. It has not been possible to find out at this distance of time as to what happened to the amounts which the Departments concerned may have placed at the disposal of the Land Acquisition Officer for payment to the concerned parties. Payment has to be made to the Darga and the departments (Municipality or the Gardens dept.) concerned will be made to pay once over. In these circumstances, it is suggested that this small amount might kindly be got, perhaps out of the allotment made from the Improvement Grants

Yrs
7/7/1938

Genl Secy

GENERAL SECRETARY.
2 JULY 1938
L9

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—18-9-37

66)

Payment of Compensation
Regd of certain lands of Hazarath Ajantha
Bangalore etc.

Mun. File No. 125 of 1927.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Properties belonging to this Durga have been acquired at various times from 1879 to 1920. The total sum awarded amounts to Rs10097-8 6. Rs.6776-2-6 was deposited in Savings Bank account to the credit of the Durga and a portion of this has been invested in Government securities. Rs.1970-0-9 has been paid to mortgagees. Rs.52-6-5 has been paid on account of Kandayam. The balance of Rs1412-8-0 out of the amount awarded, it is inferred, has not been credited to the Durga account. From the statement sent by the Muzrai Commissioner in April 1935 it is seen that this amount remaining uncredited is constituted of Rs.717-8-0 awarded in 1879, Rs.100 awarded in 1901 and Rs.595 awarded in 1893. The departments for whom these properties were acquired appear to have paid the amounts to the Acquisition officer. The acquisition records are not available and it is not possible to state at this distance of time how these amounts were disposed of by the Acquisition officer. In the ordinary course, they must have been credited to the Treasury by the Acquisition officer. They have not been subsequently transferred to the Durga account for some reason or other. It appears from the records that for a long period the amounts were kept in deposit in order that the Durga might select other lands in lieu of the lands that were acquired. This may be the reason why the amounts were not paid to the Durga account, and the matter was lost

Pathivada Compensation — re. acqn of Collection and belonging to Durga in Chikkaballa Shah Durga Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat — Misc. File No. 125 of 1924. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

sight of ~~for~~ after sometime. The items of deposit are not verifiable at this distance of time as the acquisition records and the Treasury Account registers do not appear to be available. It is clear that, so far as the Durga is concerned, it has not received Rs.1412-8-0. The probability is that the deposit made by the Land Acquisition Officer has lapsed to Government, as it was not paid out to the Durga. This amount may be paid to the Durga account by the Government, or in the alternative, some lands may be selected and given to the Durga in lieu of this amount.

1st Member
Through Fin Sec)

5/7/38

To The Comptroller with Comrs for favour of remarks. The Revenue deposit accounts (including lapsed Shadow the municipal accounts, and the records maintained in land acqn may also be referred to if necessary.

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT U.O.No.Fi...38..... 6 JUL. 1938 BANGALORE

J.B.

4/8/38

U.C.No.05/Dep. Dated: 9th August 38.
No 95/Sp&C dated 12-8-38
Returned with compliments.

In the absence of clear details of dates and amounts of original credit to Revenue Deposits, verification is not possible and information cannot be furnished. In the Revenue Deposit [illegible]

NOTE—Contr Sheet.

Wp 4—5PB

(48) Regarding Compensation in respect of E. Hazarath Dargh. Bangalore city.

File No. 125 of 1938

General and Revenue Secretariat

9638

furnishes particulars relating to the amounts and dates of credit to Deposit, this office will be able to verify the correctness or otherwise of those particulars.

A



Besides, Hazarath Attaulla Sha Darga is a minor Wakf Institution and as such, no individual account is maintained in respect of the same. Reference is invited to para 160 in the file containing U.O. reply of this office in the matter.

M. Viswanatha
Comptroller.

P.S.

A further attempts may be required to be made to trace details. It is obviously undesirable to sanction payment without satisfactory document or evidence.

The query in para 197 was whether there was any new account opened in that month (the month of the D.C's report) with a credit of this order. Would Asst Secy please speak to me re this case? Sd 16/8/38.

24 Submitted. Mr S. B. Ledgers of the Wakf Deposit Branch may be looked into and no such account was

Compensation Amount — Hazarath Thakur Shah Durga Lands acquired some time back (69

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 125 of 1921. — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Hon'ble. Attempts were made to get all the original papers of the Town office relating to the Dis letter of 1921 but unfortunately these papers are understood to have been destroyed. The Town book of the Mukhtiar does not also show any interest of deposit of the amount. Presumably therefore the amount was not deposited and it is not known as to what has happened to it. Further instructions are solicited

Gen Letter Para. 210 in 1911 is forwarded (Govt 1911

GENERAL SECRETARY. 12 NOV. 1938 (219) It has not been possible to trace any evidence in support of the payment having been made In the circs it seems fair that the amount should be paid now.

1937/1911

Hon'ble Member

219) Govt in Letter dated 14.9.38 ... documentary ... reply to the ... Govt ... Govt ... it is considered necessary ... obtaining a Declaration

GENERAL SECRETARIAT NOTE—Contra Sheet No. 11 NOV. 1938

WD 0261—GPB—30,000—13 8 37

Compensations Claimed in Gc
Mazaarat of Shah Bunga near
Lalbagh Bund acquisition in respect of certain
lands acquired for a police purpose.

Mtg File No. 125 of 1938. General and Secr

no payment from the party is expected also by an
indemnity and system of suitable security to govt.

14.11.38 14/11

220 The Amount may be credited
to the instn & will be under the
control of the Mtg officer. There is
no great advantage in getting an
indemnity bond from the party who
is a sort of manager

S183
21/11

23/11

221) for favourable orders thro 24/4
for sig.

22.11.38

order of the Asstt Sec dy
19.12.38.
seen the D/f. proceeds & Submitted.

No 1021 dy 20.12.1938 the Mtg Secr
Communicates calling for information as to the
Lands from which the Compensation may

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT
U.O.No.Fl. 1549
25. NOV. 1938
CALCUTTA

Re: Hiranka Shah Dangs
Compensation payable thereto (71

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 125 of 1921. — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

be paid to Hiranka Shah Dangs
who was directed in para 225 supra.
GS 21/12-1938 / 21.12.38. Final orders as per
orders already passed will be
issued on receipt of information
as regards the name from which
the amount may be paid.
Submitted for remark
by
21.12.38
Secretary

21 DEC. 1938
1762

Letter No C42/29.30 of 12.1.1939 from
the Muzrai Commissioner furnishing information
called for about the source from which
the Compensation may be paid to Hazarath
Hiranka Shah Dangs Bandekar etc.
Submitted for orders
to
L.S.G & Devt. Branches in reference
the D.C's suggestion vide para 225 supra with
Municipality & Town Committee Panaji being the
Court
19.1.39.
Under it can be
established that the Department
can not plead their right
of requisition charges. Thus Commr.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0281—GPB—30,000—13 8 37

Re: Compensation claimed on behalf Hazaneth Allanka Shah Dargah. Baul

Mus 3 File No. 125 of 1921. General a Se

be called upon to pay now. The only other alternative is to omit this small amount from the provision for Tank Improvement grants as the acquisition has been made for tank improvement The L.C.G. section may be consulted if this is feasible

[illegible]
19.1

Gen: Sec)

I do not think there is any objection in the Tank Improvement grant this year, in which case, Rs 14 12-8-0 may be sanctioned as an additional grant. This is a long pending question and no useful purpose will be served by postponing the payment till next year

Second Member [illegible]
Thro' 19.1.39
Secy L.S.G.

It is not necessary to place a demand for an addl grant. The amount may be [illegible] T.9 grant remaining unspent at the end of the year 1938-39

[illegible]
19/1/39

II Member) [illegible] 20.1 20.1.39

GENERAL SECTION
19 JAN. 1939
2133

SECOND MEMBER
19 JAN 1939
No. 6643

Compensation to Hazarath Allanlla Khan Dargha Bangalore —

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mcy File No 125 of 1921 [App F. III—2

Serial No

It is ascertained that there is no possibility of Rs 20,000/- meeting the cost from the allotment made for "Bangalore City Improvement" in the current years budget for the following reasons:-

1) A sum of Rs 25000/- has so far been incurred
2) 30,000/- for Excavation to water supply
3) 15,000/- for the Minor works in the year.

Under Instr. of Town & Minor Municipalities nearly Rs 20,000/- has been spent out of Rs 1,00,000/- which is ascertained that it is too soon to say whether there would be any saving remaining unspent out of the provision made for it in the current years budget unless a revised Estimate is ready which would be probably nearly a months hence A Note is already ordered & placed in the File. Whether this may be decided a months hence is for orders —

Chief Engr. & Secy. Mysore Govt.

Put up

For Consideration before Govt

Secy.

Note —Contn Sheet

1544—GIB—3000 2-23

13/3

13/3

Munz File No. 125 of 1931.

General and Revenue Secretariat

G.O. No. 1528-30 dt. 16.3.33 directing that a sum of Rs. 1412-8-0 may be credited in favour of Attavilla Shah Dargah Committee.	50

R

ERVIEW WITH THE DEWAN.

~~Saturday~~ Friday 1st Dec 1922.

Name of Interviewer— G. P. 274
1.12.22

Representation in brief—

REVT. 2 DEC 1922
LOCAL GOVT. BRANCH.

1 DEC 1922

Gl 39-1 Cy 40, 46 & 55 is file ref. Members references on the subject are being dealt with in the Congress Branch in Orig. 125-21 and in 20.

Diary No. of 278

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Rev 3)
the 191 .

Date of issue 4-1-23

Signed by Mr J.E.J Joyce

Section
File No.
Serial No

No. 1997
Rec

To
The Superintendent of Survey

Sir,

In continuation of this office Cir no. 1591, Rev 63-21 of 24-10-22 I am to refer to your letter no. 1591, Rev 63-21 of 24-10-22 transferring to you for disposal the correspondence on the subject of the investment in the 6½% State Loan of the compensation amounts awarded to the Kilavalla Shola Watchmen Lands required for purposes of

any oversight to forward herewith
received from the Manager of the Durga
List of the Gaudo ~~enclosures~~
which
~~enumerated in the~~ Agreement to pay
~~awarded, complied up~~
to the Durga as Compensation
~~the~~ managed of the Durga; and
to request to be furnished with that
detailed information ~~required~~
~~to items 1 to 4 in the letter~~ as to about
Items 1, 3 & 4 referred to therein
the ~~Times~~ ~~should be left to have~~
~~been received as compensation~~
~~in items 1, 3 & 4~~, may be obtained
and furnished at a very early date

I have etc

U/ 28/12/22

Secretary

Rev: Deptt

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

C 590 7 22-23

Bangalore

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Dated, Bangalore, the 21st March 1923.

22

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

125-4-18

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir.

As called for in your letter No. 1997/M.F.125-21-17 dated 4th January 1923, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of memo received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with his letter No. D_2 Dis. 1092/22-23 dated 14th March 1923 furnishing the details of compensation amount awarded to the Attavalla Sha Darga at Bangalore for lands belonging to the institution and referred to in your letter under reply.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Station).

ss oner s Office,

Bangalore, 12th March 1923.

Memo re: the amount at the credit of Attavulla Sha Darga, Bangalore Taluk.

---

1. Land acquired for Model Farm and the compensation deposited in the Government Savings Bank Book No. 6247/5-1-07 Rs. 418/-.

Out of compensation of Rs. 1858-14-7 awarded for survey No.130 of Dodbaikanavillage, Bangalore Taluk, a sum of Rs. 418/- has been invested in the Government Savings Bank and the interest was being paid to the Manager of the Attavulla Sha Darga under the authority conveyed in para 11 of Government Order No. 6308-9-261 dated 22nd December 1888. The Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, reports that the interest on the amount has been so paid to the Makkandar, till the end of the year 1919-20 and thereafter out of the said Rs. 418/- a sum of Rs. 406-6-0 was invested on 3rd September 1920 in the 6½ per-cent State Loan.

2. Land acquired on 28th June 1915 for Lal-Bagh main gate Extension. Compensation awarded Rs. 985-4-1.

A compensation of Rs. 985-4-1 was awarded for 27 guntas of land out of survey No. 41 of Mavalli village, Bangalore Taluk, belonging to the Makkan in 1915. The amount, which was intended to be devoted to the purchase of other lands for the Makkan, happened eventually to be credited to Ain Jama viz., Muzrai Funds. On the representa-tion of the Manager of the Makkan, instruction was issued to the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, to investigate the matter and he has accordingly after correspondence with the officer in charge, State Huzur Treasury, submitted a refund bill for the amount, so that the amount may be invested in the Savings Bank Account opened in the name of the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, on behalf of the institution. The above refund bill had been forwarded to the Muzrai Commissioner for favor of sanction with this office No. H.C. 936 of 20-21 date 1st February last and has been since returned duly sanctioned with

with Muzrai Commissioner's No. D_2 Dis. 499 of 22-23 dated 5th 1923.

3. Land acquired on 17th August 1918 for Visveswarapuram, Compensation awarded $ 1,743-6-5.

An extent of 1 acre 30 guntas out of survey No. 38 of Dodbail Khana village, belonging to the Wakkan was acquired at a compensation of 1743-6-5 and the Mysore Bank cheque received for the latter amount was cashed and the amount credited to Revenue Deposit in the State Huzur Treasury on 5th September 1919. The amount in question was withdrawn from the head Revenue Deposit and after meeting out of it $ 52-6-5 towards certain kandayam due from the Institution, the remaining amount of $ 1691/- was credited to the Savings Bank Account kept for the institution on 27th February 1922. The sum at the credit of the Institution at the end of 1921-22 was $ 4755-6-4. As Government in Muzrai Secretary's letter No. 2847/Muz.125-21 dated 6th December 1921, to the Comptroller, desired that the funds remaining in the Savings Bank Account on account of Attavulla Sha Darga should be invested in 7 per cent State Loan of 1921, an application has been made to the Comptroller in this office No. H.C.400 of 21-22 dated 21st July 1922 to invest $ 4750/- out of the above $ 4755-6-4 in the 7 per cent State Loan.

Sd/- Charles Noronha,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

"Copy".

for Muzrai Commissioner.

4/3/23

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1856

Muzrai Secretariat

(May)

, the *192* .

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 2580

Date of issue 3.4.23

Signed by Mr T.E.J. Bryce

To

The Muzrai Comr in Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

Referring to your letter no. C. 590 of 22-23 & 22-3-23 forwarding copy of memo received from the D. C. Bangalore District furnishing the details of compensation amount awarded to the Attavalla Sha Darga at Bangalore for lands belonging to the institution,

am directed to state that
it is not clear from the details
furnished in the memo how
there was a sum of Rs 4755-6-4
at the credit of the institution
at the end of the year 1921-22.
Besides the compensation
amounts awarded to the institution,
as noted in the memo, there
are some ~~[illegible]~~ awarded as compensation,
aggregating Rs 4980-13-3, at the
credit of the institution.
I am, therefore, to request
that a statement showing
the compensation amounts
awarded to the institution
on different occasions, ~~and~~
the total amount at the
credit of the institution
now and how it is held may
be obtained and forwarded
at an early date.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

The Manager of the Malkhan
given a statement showing that a sum of
Rs [illegible]-8-6 is at the credit of the institution.

WD 056—GPB—2,500—27-7 22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to 260

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

, *the* 192

No. 391 one

Date of issue 19.9.23

To

The Muzrai Commr in mys

Bangalore.

Signed by Mr. D.C. Subbarayappa

Sir,

In inviting your attn in reference to this office letter No. 2580/Muz 125-21-19 dt 3-4-23 calling for detailed information regarding the compensation amounts awarded to the Allavalla Sha Durga at Bangalore on different occasions and also the

manner in which the
amount has been held, I
am directed to forward herewith
~~for your perusal and return~~ to be obligingly returned.
Memorial ~~addressed to the Govt.~~ received from
~~the~~ Rajpreeda of the Durga
and to request that the
information called for
may be furnished and
at an early date
Memorialists with your own
views in the matter.

I have etc

31/8/23
Secretary
Rev: Deptt.

F.G.
30/8/23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0663- GPB—2,500—27 7 22

1045

C. O. 46—R

WD 0103—GPB—10,000—29—8-21

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No 693-4/S1

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 15th Sept 1923

FROM

T. SATYARAJ CHETTY ESQ., B A.,

Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muz. 125-21-20

To

F. S. Jeyarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to letter no. 2847/Muz. 125-21-6 dated 6th December 1921 directing the investment in 7% loans of 1931 of a sum of 4,980-12-3 being the amount of compensation paid to Attavalla Pha Darga of - bangalore, I have the honor to state that it has since been ascertained after communication with the Muzrai Commissioner (a copy of whose letter no. C590of22-23/Bulore dated 31-3-23 / 4-4-23 is enclosed for information) and the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, that a sum of R4,750/- only may be invested in the State Loan.

I have accordingly written to the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury to make necessary write back of interest already credited to the Savings Bank account in which the amount has hitherto been included and I am issuing a stock certificate for 1,750/- in the name of the Dewan of Mysore as usual to be held in trust on behalf of the Darga.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. Satyaraj Chetty
Comptroller.

Copy of letter No.C.590 of 22-23 dated 31st/4th April 1923, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

==========

Adverting to your letter No.Sl.4335 dated 17th March 1923 stating that pending reply to your letter No.746/Sl dated 2nd August 1922 addressed to the Muzrai Secretary, no reply was given to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to his letter No.H.C.400/21-22 dated 21st July 1922 regarding the investment of R$4,750/- available at the credit of Attavalla Sha Darga near Lal-bagh, Bangalore City, I have the honor to state that from Savings Bank Account No.6247 it is seen that the marginally noted amounts have been deposited in the Savings Bank in favour of the Darga and the sum of R$1,931-13-3 sanctioned in Government Order No.12876-7/L.R.372-19-2 must have been credited in the Savings Bank under a separate account (Vide para 8 of Deputy Commissioner's letter No.663/20-21 dated 14th March 1921 to the Muzrai Secretary, extract of which is enclosed for reference.

1907	...	418-0-0
1918	...	1691-0-0
1921	...	3049-0-0
	Total	5158-0-0

The Deputy Commissioner further states that there are several items of compensation due to the institution still to be traced in the treasury account. A refund bill for R$985-4-1 has been recently sanctioned in this office order No.D2 Dis.499/22-23 dated 6th March 1923 to be withdrawn from "Ain Jama" and be invested in the Savings Bank account of this institution.

The other Savings Bank account book in favour of this institution was called for by the Muzrai Secretary from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to reply your letter No.746/Sl dated 2nd August 1922. But since this was not received from that

office

officer no reply appears to have been given to you from the S tariat.

In the present circumstances it is suggested that a sum of R$4,750/- may be immediately drawn from the Savings Bank Account No.6247 and invested immediately and the remaining amount viz., R$1,931-13-1 and other items which would be traced subsequently be offered later as they become available to make up the balance R$50,000/- required by you for investment in 6½% State Loan.

=====

Extract of para 8 from letter No.H.C.663/20-21 dated 14th March 1921, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Secretary to Government, Kuzrai Department, Bangalore.

======

X X X X

8. On receipt of this Government Order through the Revenue Commissioner on 19th May 1920, instructions were issued on 21st May 1920 to the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore, for taking necessar action in the matter. It is ascertained from the Amildar, Bangalor Taluq, that this amount namely R$1,931-13-3 is under Savings Bank deposit and that arrangements have been made to the interest being paid to the Makkandar when it falls due, that is, in May next.

X X X X

=====

Superintendent, ~~Records Section~~,
Comptroller's Office.

LSR/15-9

15-9.

Muz. 7]

SUBJECT.... . . . . .

. . . . . .

. . . . . .

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Date of issue . . . . . .

Signed by. . . . . .

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette. English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Communication no 2847/Muz 125-21 dt 6-12-2 from the Hon'ble requesting the Comptroller to take needful action for the investment in the 7% Loan of 1921, the compensation amount

Order Thereon No.

paid to Attavalla Sha Darga near the Lal Bagh Bangalore for its inam lands acquired by the city municipality and

in the Mysore Govt. Savings Bank.

Letter no. C. 590 Dy 22-3-1923 from the Inspr. Genl. forwarding copy of memo from the Dy. Comr. Bangalore Dist., furnishing details of compensation awarded to the Darga in question for its inam lands.

Letter no. 693-4 Dy 15-9-23 from the Comptr. reporting that it has since been ascertained in communication with the Dy. Comr. and Insp. Genl. that a sum of ~~Rs~~ 750/- only may be invested in the State loan, and that he is issuing a Stock certificate for this amount in the name of the Dewan of Mysore to be held in trust on behalf of the Darga.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

66—2000—GPB—29-7-22

5084-9 Bk Muz X25-21-21
Recvd. 24-10-23

F. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Secretary, Rev. Dept

Bk 26/9/23

The Muz. Curr,

& Comptr. to G.

No. 590-'22-23 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 20th December 1923.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A., Muz.125-21.
Offg.Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir.

I have the honor to request you to kindly return the Savings Bank pass book No.6247 of Attavulla Sha Darga at Bangalore City forwarded with this office letter No.C.590/22-23 dated the 13th October 1923, as the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District askes for the -- same for being sent to the Comptroller for effecting certain writing back of interest on the amount transferred to the State Loan.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K Chandy
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

The connected file is before the member of Council. The S.B. Pass Book will be returned as soon as the file is received back with orders.

Records have been received with no. 8 This may be deposited.

Office of the [illegible]

Dated Bangalore, 10th October 1923.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., I.A.S.,

Special Commissioner Mysore,

Bangalore.



To 474

Rev. Dept. 7. 125-21-22.

F. S. Jayarama Aiyar, Esquire., B.A., I.C.S.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Adverting to your letter No.3550/1 F.138-21-19 and 591/1 F. 135-21-10 (D) dated 3rd April and 19th September 1923, (C) I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.506/20-21 dated 28th ultimo received from the Deputy Commissioner, (B) Bangalore District, with a statement showing the compensation amount awarded to Attavulla and Dasa at Bangalore, and to state that out of the sum of Rs.7501-0-0 awarded as compensation for lands acquired lands belonging to the Dasa, a sum of Rs.6143-4-1 was credited to the savings bank account

Rs.416-0-0	on 5-1-1917	Rs.6247 on the dates noted in the margin
Rs.3049-0-0	" 27-9-21	
Rs.1691-0-0	" 27-2-22	(Vide pass book enclosed.) A sum of
Rs.987-4-1	27-6-23	

Rs.62-0-0 was adjusted towards balance due from Dasa and a sum of Rs.519-5-3 which had lapsed under Revenue Deposits has since been drawn and credited to the savings bank account referred to above on 31st August 1923. As on the balance of Rs.1036-7-1 made up of items 1 to 4 in the statement as noted in the margin the Deputy Commissioner states that the attempted

Rs.491-7-1	further investigation and after satisfying that the
" 395-0-0	
" -------	sums were not at any time drawn for the Treasury,
Rs.1036-7-1	

Government will be approached with a request to sanction the amount.

2. From the Savings Bank account referred to above, a sum of Rs.4750/- was withdrawn and invested in 7% State Loan, and a sum of Rs.406-6-0 for being invested in 6% State Loan. The account [illegible]

... Savings Bank account ...

... item of Rs.1601-14-1 referred to in the Sajjadanas ...
... I beg to state that, apart ... to the Deputy Commissioners letter

(A)

...	...	...	dated 14th March 1921, it
..	7-1	717 0 0	comprises the marginally
..	1-7	110 10 0	noted items of compensatio
..	13-0	1200 0 0	for the Barra lands acquir
..	-10	110 12 0	from time to time. Out o
..	1-	101 0 0	
	21-1	1601-14-0	

... 7-... ... balance of
... the present report the Deputy
... Rs.1065-12-4
... Savings Bank account in
... two items ----
... or.

... to have been invested in the
... suitable land in exchange
... investment of the amount
... as above - the best thing to do (Vide
... above referred to from the
... Mutual Benefit) now as the Sajjada in his
... that it is against the laws of --
... the investment of the Barra funds
... no objection
... Sajjada could secure such --
... capable of a good relation and
... affairs.

... with our letter under reply is herewith --

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
our most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner.

Above from Agr Sec.

885

Mmz. 125-21-23.
Encumbrance Pass Book
Dr. 8. 1. 24.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. C.590 dated 13-10-1923, submitting report re the compensation amounts awarded to and payable to Attavulla Sha Darga at Bangalore, and recommending that as prayed by the Sajjada, the investment of the Darga Funds on house pr perty ~~on account of some religious objection~~ may be sanctioned, I am directed to request that the Deputy Commissioner may be instructed to investigate and settle all items of compensation still due to the Darga and submit a final report ~~submitted~~ to Government early.

2. As regards the investment of the money, Government ~~consider to state~~ that ~~the~~ ~~investment~~ its investment on house property, ~~of the money in the Government Loans is~~ much safer and more ~~yielding~~ than ~~its~~ investment on house property, though it is objectionable from an orthodox point of view. But the income from the investment should not be regarded as interest.

3. The enclosures are returned for reference and re-submission.

I have

Revenue Secretary.

17.12.23

C 5907 ms
18/12/22

Recd 20/12

From,

Peerzada Syed Shah Gulam Ali Shuttari,
Sajjada of Hazarath Syed Athavulla Shah Saib's Darga
LalBagh Road, Bangalore City.

To,

The Muzrai Commissioner
to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

In respect of certain amounts of money granted by Government towards the acquisition of certain lands belonging to the Darga, in respect of three items, which runs to a total of Rs. 5,777-10-6 which falls at the credit of the Darga in different years from 1915, I wish to state that the Muzrai Secretary, in his No.5521/Muz.125-21-11 dated the 4th April 1922, informs that the sum of Rs. 4,975/- has been arranged to be invested in the new 7% loan. Though this amount ought to have been invested in the Government Savings for the benefit of the Darga the amount which fell to the credit of the Darga from the dates they fell due to the Darga, it was not done and even after the above endorsement of the Muzrai Secretary, the above amount has been invested in the New 7% loan only in June 1923. That I therefore request you to kindly see to the best interests of the Darga, and cause interest to be calculated at the usual rate from the dates the different sums of money fell due to the credit of the Darga and pay the same for the expenses of the Darga. As a matter of course the interest on this amount will be paid from the month of June 1923 as it has been invested from that date.

2. Further I wish to state that in the Interpellations at the Meeting of the Mysore Legislative Council on 27th June 1921, a question was raised as to the extent of land

belonging to the Darga acquired for public purpose and the amount of compensation awarded and as to why such amount has not been paid to the Sajjada of the Makkan or invested in the Government Savings Bank for the benefit of the Makkan to which Government have replied that 29 ac. 22 Guntas of land has been acquired and Rs. 3,901-14-0 has been awarded as compensation and out of this amount so granted for acquisitions made till ~~the~~ 1901, a portion was paid to the mortgagees of that land and the balance has been deposited in the Government Savings Bank for the benefit of the Makkan.

That I wish to request you will be pleased to order the payment of the full amount of interest accrued to date on such amount as has been deposited in the Government Savings in this respect, as not a single pie has been paid to me from the very beginning, i.e., from the date such amount fell due to the credit of the Darga (Makkan). Awaiting early disposal.

For which act of kindness, I shall feel highly thankful,

I remain,
Sir,
Yours very faithfully,

Bangalore,
17th December 1923.

96 898

Muz.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore, Dated 5th January 1924.

From

K.Chandy, Esq, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. Muz. 125-21-24.

To

K.V.Anantaraman, Esq, B.A,.

Secretary to Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

S I R,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.590/22-23 dated 13th October 1923, I have the honour to submit herewith petition dated 17th ultimo from the Sajjada of Athavulla Sha Darga at Bangalore City praying for the payment of interest from date of award on the compensation awarded for the Darga lands from time to time and to recommend that the request of the Sajjada is reasonable and interest at the usual rate from the dates, the different amounts of compensation, fall due to the credit of the Darga, has to be paid. I request that the sum so due may be ordered to be credited to the Savings Bank account of the institution. The source will be pointed out in consultation with the Deputy - - Commissioner, Bangalore District.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

M.A.N.

40088

No. C 197 dey / 25/8 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 5th January 1927.

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT. 10 JAN 1927 MUZRAI D 909 25-21

From

B. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 8 JAN. 1927 G. D. SECTION.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1496/Muz.135-21-26 dated 16th April 1925 and subsequent reminders calling for a report re the payment of interest to Attavali Sha Darga, ----- Bangalore, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of an ad-interim report No.C-3-24-26 dated 23rd December 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District in the matter.

A final report in the matter will be sent up immediately on receipt of the Deputy Commissioner's reply.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

This file is put up. No further report promised. May be awaited.
10-1-27
10/1/27

40088

No. C 197 Agl Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

25/5 Dated Bangalore, 5th January 1927.

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT. 10. JAN 1927 MUZRAI

From

GEN. & REV. SECTT. BR 8 JAN. 1927 To G. D. SECTION.

G. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A., D 909
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore. 25-21

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1496/Muz.125-21-26 dated 16th April 1925 and subsequent reminders calling for a report re the payment of interest to Attavali Sha Darga, Bangalore, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of an ad-interim report No.C-3-246-26 dated 22nd December 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District in the matter.

A final report in the matter will be sent up immediately on receipt of the Deputy Commissioner's reply.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

This file is put up. The further report promised, may be awaited.
10-1-27
10.1.27

Letter No.C.3-248-26 dated 23rd December 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - -

"With reference to your Memo No.C.590/22-23 dated 23rd -- April 1925, re the payment of interest to Attavulla Sha Dargah at Bangalore, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, who was requested to institute further enquiries, now reports that as regards the payment of Rupees 1412-8-0 said to be due to the Institution, no further information is available as to whether the amount has been paid to the Makhandar. The amount is --- however not deposited in the Savings Bank account.

As regards the payment of interest, the City Municipal - Commissioner has been addressed to intimate the exact date on which the properties were taken possession of by the Municipality.

On receipt of a reply from the latter officer further repor will be submitted as regards the amount of interest that may be - due to the institution from which the expenditure is to be met.

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

34352

No C 590/22-23 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 9th Februaty 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV SECTT. 13 FEB 1925 MUZRAI

GENL. & REV SECTT. 12 FEB. 1925 SECTION

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Muzrai 125-21-23

With reference to your letter No.885/M.F.125-21-23 dated the 8th January 1924 requesting to direct the Deputy Commissioner Bangalor District to investigate and settle all items of compensation due to th Attavulla Sha Darga at Bangalore and to submit a final report to Gover ment I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.C.936/20-2 dated the 2nd January 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner and to state that out of the*marginally noted items of compensation amounts -----

*1.	Land acquired for model farm	418	0	0	) claimed by the ------
2.	" for Hindu Mohamedan -- burial grounds.	3901	14	0	) Sajjada of the Darga
3.	For Lalbog maingate extension	985	4	1	)
4.	For Visveswarapuram "	1743	6	3	) in his memorial dated
5.	For Bamboo bazaar "	3049	0	0	)
	Total. Rs.	10,097	8	4	) 17th August 1923 which

is herewith returned, items No.1, 3 and 5 are reported to have been - deposited in the Savings Bank account.

2. As regards item No.2, it is reported that out of the sum of Rs.3901-14-0 awarded as compensation forhaving acquired certain lands in Annipur village, a sum of Rs.1970-0-9 was paid to the mortgagees of the lands as they were mortgaged by the Makkandars prior to their acquisition and a sum of Rs.519-5-3 was credited to the Savings Bank account referred to above. As the major portion of the lands were acquired prior to the year 1889 it is stated that it is not possible to say at this distance of time how the balance of Rs.1412-8-0 was ----- disposed of and that the makkandars should have preferred their claim if any then only; it seems to me, however that this amount may -

also

be credited to the makan, the delay in prefering the claim excused.

3 The sum of Rs.1743-6-3 (item No.4) was first credited to Revenue deposits and after defraying therefrom the sum of Rs.52-6-3 towards the Kandayam due on the land acquired, the balance of Rs.1691 is reported to have been credited to Savings Bank deposit.

4 Thus a sum of Rs.6662-9-4 was credited to the Savings Bank deposit and the interest thereon is said to have been paid to the makkandar from time to time. Out of the above amount a sum of Rs.406-6-0 was invested in 6½% State Loan and a sum of Rs.4750/- in 7% State Loan. The Deputy Commissioner reports that the balance at the credit of the institution now in the Savings Bank deposit is Rs.1713-15-2. The interests on the Loan bonds referred to above were also paid to the Makkandar.

5 The Deputy Commissioner states that the Sajjada of the Darga requests that a sum of Rs.303-8-2 being the value of houses and trees which were on the lands acquired for Lalbag extension may be paid to himself as the makkan is entitled to the compensation of Rs.6811-11-11 awarded for the site alone. In this respect it is stated that the Sajjada has not adduced any proof that the houses which were on the site were not constructed out of the makkan funds and that the claim should have been preferred before the acquiring officer.

6 As regards the request of the Sajjada for payment of interest on the compensation amount from the date of its award till the amount was deposited in the Savings Bank, a statement showing the date of award of each amount and the date of its payment into the Treasury received with the Deputy Commissioners letter is herewith submitted for perusal. Under Sec:34 of the Land acquisition Regulation interes is admissible from the date of taking possession of the land to the date ofpayment of compensation and this interest may be allowed into the Savings Bank.

7. The Deputy Commissioner stated that further enquiries will be made if the makkandar produces references to show that the properties were taken possession of before the date of payment of

compensation

compensation amount into the Savings Bank.

I have the honor to b e,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.C.936/20-21 dated 2nd January 1925 from the Deputy missioner Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.590/22-23 dated 7th April 1924 calling for a final report as to the Settlement of all items of compensation still due to the Athaullasha Darga at Bangalore, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The Sajjada of the makkan in his petition dated 17th August 1923 (received with your letter No.C.590 of 22-23 dated 11th January 1924) states that the following amounts awarded as compensation for the acquisition of makkan lands, are laying unproductive:

1. For model form	418/-
2. For Hindu and Mahamedan burial grounds and extention of Lalbagh.	3901/14/0
3. For Lalbag maingate extension.	985-14-1
4. For Visveswarapuram extension.	1743-6-3
5. For Bamboo Bazaar extension.	3049-0-0
Total.	10098-2- 4

Of these, the 1st 34d and the 5th items were credited to --- Government savings Bank account No.6247 on 5th January 1907, 29th June 1923 and 27th September 1921 respectively.

As regards items No.2, the amount of Compensation awarded for the acq isition of S.Nos.2, 5, 10 and 16 of Annepura village was -- Rs.3901-14-0 as detailed in the margin. As some of these lands had been maotagaged by the makkandars prior to the acquisition a sum of

S.No.	Extent Ac.	G.	Year	Compensation Rs.	a	p	Due to the Mortgagees. Rs.	a.	p	Balance. Rs.	a.	p
2	7	7	1879)	817	8	0	325	0	11	491	7	1
-	1	-	1901)									
5	8	10	1893	1443	12	0	848	12	0	595	0	0
10	12	0	1888	1500	0	0	795	3	10	704	12	0
16	1	5	1888	140	10	0	-	-	-	140	10	0
Total.	29	22		3901	14	0	1970	0	9	1931	13	3

Rs.1970-0-9 was paid to the mortagees. Out of the balance of --- Rs.1931-13-3, a sum of Rs.519-5-3 was credited to Savings Bank accou on 31st August 1923 leaving a balance of Rs.1412-8-0. As already reported in my letter No.C.C.3374/22-23 dated 24th September 1923, further references relating to the above said balance could not be -

kxxxx be traced in this office of in the Taluk

As regards the last item (viz. Rs.1743-6-3) this was awarded for the acquisition of 1 acre and 20 guntas of land ou of S.No.38 of Doddahylekhane was credited to the Revenue Deposits on 5th September 1919 at first. Subsequently a sum of Rs.52-6-3 out of this, being the arrears of Kandayam due from the Makkan was deducted and the balance of Rs.1691/- was credited to Savings Bank Account No.6247 on 27th February 1922.

Interest on the Savings Bank deposit was paid to the makkandar from time to time. Out of the principle, a sum of Rs.406-6-0 was xxxxxx withdrawn and invested in the 6½% State Loan on 3rd September 1920 and a sum of Rs.475O/- was withdrawn and invested in the 7% State Loan on 7th June 1923. The amount now at the credit of the makkan is Rs.1518-15-2 and this continues as a deposit in the Savings Bank.

The interest on the State Loan investment from 1st November 1921 to 31st October 1923 (viz., Rs.529-15-4) was also paid to the Makkandar.

As regards the scale of expenditure for the institution ---- proposals called for from the Sub Division officer have not yet been received. On receipt of the same necessary steps will be taken.

4. Thus the points referred to by the Sajjada of the makkan as remaining to be disposed of are.--

(1) that Rs.1412-8-0 out of the compensation awarded for the acquisition of lands in S.Nos.2, 5, 10 and 16 of Annepura village have not been credited to the makkan accounts.

(2) that out of Rs.955-4-1 awarded as compensation for the acquisition of lands in Mavalay, a sum of Rs.303-3-2 being the value of the houses and trees on the lands and proportionate statutory allowance may be paid to him.

(3) that interest on the compensation amount is due from the date of award order till the amount was deposited in the Savings Bank.

As regards the first point, the award was for the acquisition of lands in Annepura village for Hindu and Mahomedan burrial grounds and the Lalbag extention. References relating to the payment of Rs.1412-8-0 are not available, as the major portion of the lands were acquired prior to the year 1889, and it is not possible to state

state at this distance of time, how the amounts were disposed of. The makkandars should have preferred their claims if any at the time.

As regards the second point, the award order shows that out of Rs.985-4-1 awarded, a sum of Rs.681-11-11 was for the land Rs.175/- for tiled houses and the trees on theland and Rs.128-8-2 as statutory allowance. The Sajjada of the makkan says that out of the compensatic amount a sum of Rs.681-11-11 alone is due to the makkan and the rest to himself, but no proof has been adduced that the houses were not put up out of makkan funds. His claim should have been preferred before the acquiring officer at the time.

As regards the 3rd point, a statement showing the date of award of each amount and the date of its payment into the Treasury is herein enclosed, but information is not avialble as to the date on which the properties were taken possession of by the Municipal President. Under Section 34 of the Land Acquisition Regulation, interest is admissable from the date of taking possession of the land and not from the date of award and the claim for interest, if any, is time-barred and should have been made at the time of passing the award. If the makandar however produces references to show that the properties were taken possession of before the date of payment further enquiries will be instituted with the Municipal Authorities regarding the interest due on the amounts."

"C o p y"

Muzrai Commissioner. 10/2/25

Statement showing particulars of compensation awarded for the acquisition of Inam Lands belonging to Athaullasha Darga Bangalore.

Village	Survey No.	Purpose of acquisition.	Date of award--order.	Amount awarded Rs. a. p.	Date of payment.	Nature of investment	Remarks.
1. Mavalli	27 guntas out of S.No. 41.	For Lalbagh extension.	28-6-15	985 4 1	30-10-16	Min Jama.	Refund bill sanctioned and and the amount deposited in S.B.on 29-6-23.
2. Doddabyle-knane	130		1881-82	1858 14 7			Out of this a sum of -- Rs.1440-14-7 paid to the mortgagess and the balance of Rs.418-0-0 was ---- deposited in S.B.on 5-1-27 and out of this sum Rs. 406-6-0 was invested in 6% State Loan on 3-9-20
do	3 guntas out of 127 and 1 acre 10-06 of 128	Bamboo Bazaar extension	13-7-20/	3049- 0 0	27- 6- 21	S.D.Deposit on 27-9-21	
3. Annepura	2,7-7	Hindu burial grounds	1879)				
	2,1-10	Mahamedan do	1901)	817- 3 0			Out of this a sum of Rs 1970-0-9 was paid to the Mortgagees, and a sum of Rs.519-5-3 which was -- lapsed in the month of September 1932 along with other amounts that were in Revenue Deposit from 17-3-89, was refunded and deposited in S.B. on 31-8 23.
	10						
	12-0	Lal Bagh extension	1889	1500 0 0			
	16						
	1-5	do	1888	140 0 0			
	5						
	8-10	do	1893	1443 12 0			

Chief Muzrai Commissioner. 10/9/25.

SUBJECT

Section Mewz
File No. 125-2
Serial No. 26

Diary No of letter replied to

No. 1496

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* *192*

To The Irrig Commr.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. C.590 B/9 dt. 7th Febry. 1925 soliciting orders on the memorial of the Sappara of Attavulla Shadagam in Bangalore regarding the compensation awarded to the Dargah I am directed ~~to reply~~ to state that as ~~With~~ regards the payment of Rs 1919-8-0 claimed by

Date of issue. 4.4.25

Signed by Mr T.R

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

the Makkandar and recommended by the Dy. in para 2 J, your letter under reply it should be definitely ascertained ~~and reported~~ how the amount was dealt with. Unless it can be clearly proved that the amount was not paid to the Makkandar or to any body else on his behalf or credited to the Govt. it will not be possible to sanction its payment to the Makkan now, at this distance of time. I am accordingly to request you to institute further enquiries in the matter and report the result to Govt. for orders with your own opinion

As regard the payment of interest ~~refd~~ to in para 6 of your com. under reference, I am to state that any claim for interest due from the date of acquisition to the date of the award, should have been made before the

G. R. S. 6/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

L. B. Officer, and it cannot be recognized now as it has to be presumed that the L.B. officer took it into account in passing the award the party will be entitled, if at all, only to interest from the date of the award till the amount has been paid to him or placed at the credit of the suit in the S.B. or other investment by me accordingly, I request you to send me a statement showing the amount of the interest that will be thus due at the 5% rate of interest, the Source from which the expenses to...

WD 2230—GPB—1,60,000—29 7 20

being also punishable
if the payment of
the interest is to be
made.

(2) ~~Mandate are~~
~~[illegible]~~

I have etc.

8.4.48

Dist. Rev. Secretary.

6/4/48

To,

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

M Y S O R E.

The humble memorial of Syed Sha Ghulam Ali Shuttari Sajjada of Hazarath Syed Athavulla Shah Saheb's Darga, Lal Bagh Road, Bangalore City.

Most respectfully showeth -

I. That the Darga of Hazarath Syed Athavulla Shah Saheb is ancient and famous. That as a mark of devotion and royal regard towards the Saint Athavulla Shah Saheb, some Inam lands were endowed with Sannads by the Ruling Sovereigns of Mysore for meeting the expenses of the Darga, Management, Charities, Sandal and Urus &c.

II. That out of the Sarva Maneya Inam lands, many acres were acquired by the Bangalore City Municipality and the Government. That the compensation amounts fixed after long delay have not been paid and nothing has been done to make up the loss consequent on the acquisitions, that the Holy Darga has suffered great loss in income and representations made to the Officers concerned have proved fruitless and their treatment of Darga affairs has not been fair and generous, that the following compensation amounts are lying unproductive with the Government.-

i. Land acquired for Lodel Farm and the Compensation amount deposited in the Government Savings Bank -Book No. 6247 of 5-1-1907 ... ... Rs. 418- 0-0

ii. Answer of Govt. to Interpellation No.12, at the Legislative Council Meeting held on 27-6-1921 ... ... Rs. 3901-14-0

iii. Land acquired on 28th June 1915 for Lalbagh Main Gate Extension Compensation. 986-14-1

iv. Land acquired for Viveswaraputam Extension on 17-8-1918. ... Rs. 1743- 6-3

v. Land acquired on 29-6-1921 near Bamboo Bazaar, Compensation ... Rs. 3048- 0-0

Rs. 10.098-2-4

III. That in case of Items i ~~and~~ or ii of the above, the Muzrai Secretary has informed in his No. 5521 / Muzrai 125-21-11 dated 4th April 22 that the compensation amounts will be held in Mysore Government State Loan and that only interest will be paid to the Sajjada for Darga expenses. That receiving interest is prohibited and against the religious orders of the Koranic Law. That Your Highness' Memorialist who is a religious Guru cannot accept interest on the compensation funds and thus go against the Holy Laws.

IV. That Your Highness' Memorialist prays most humbly that Your highness may be graciously pleased to order that no funds of the holy Darga be thus invested for interest. That Your humble Memorialist also prays that the Darga funds may be used in purchasing house property yielding rent, as income to the Darga, by Your Highness' Government, as soon as possible without further loss to the Darga. That if the Government should be unable to spare time in securing suitable house property for the Darga, the Memorialist will himself make the necessary arrangement and purchase house property which can secure reasonable rent, on the compensation amounts due being paid to him in full.

And Your Highness' Memorialist as in duty bound will ever pray.

Your Highness' most humble
S u j j a d a,

Bangalore,
17th August 1923.

151

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....2nd.M..a..y.....192 8.

No. 197 Enfiles 25-6

FROM

P. C. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.





8/502

Misc-125-21-F1

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1496/M.F.2125-21-26 dated the 16th April 1925 regarding the compensation amount due to Attavulla Sha Darga Pangalore City, I have the honor to state that Government in their order No.R.2469-75/L.R.518-06-11 dated the 5th November 1912 sanctioned the purchase of certain occupied lands for the benefit of the institution from the amount of compensation due to the institution after satisfying the claims of Mortgagees as noted in the margin. Out of cash compersation of Rs.1640-10-0 awarded for S.Nos. 10 & 16 the mortgagee of these lands and of S.No.2 were paid leaving a balance of Rs.519-5-3 in the Revenue Deposit which was traced recently and credited to the Savings Bank account in favour of the makan. The institution has to be paid still a sum of Rs.1412-8-0.

Compensation		Amt.paid for redemption of mortgage.	
S.No.2	817- 8- 0	=326- 0- 11	
"10 & 16	1640-10- 0	=795- 3- 10	
5	1443-12- 0	848-12- 0	Balance.
Purpose for which acquired.			
Hindu Burial ground		491- 7- 1	
Extension of Lalbagh		845- 0- 2	
do		595- 0- 0	
	Total.	1931-15- 3	

(2) As the lands to be given in exchange sanctioned in Government Order referred to above were not available and the makkandar failed to select other lands in spite of several notices to him the Deputy Commissioner recommended the payment of cash compensation and this was sanctioned in Government Order No.R/.12876-7, L.R.372-19-2 dated 11th

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....15 V.....

Section Dmcg.

File No. 125-21

Serial No. 28.

No. 2383

C. & 7 files

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The M. C. .....

Date of issue 15/6/28

Signed by.....

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 197 Dy 3-5-1928 re The Compensation amount due to Attavella Sha Dinga, Bangalore city. I am directed to observe that in the first para of the said letter, it is mentioned that this sum has to be paid still a sum of Rs 1112-8-0. While in the 2nd para it

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

11th May 1920.

The Deputy Commissioner who was asked to address the authorities concerned in the matter of payment of compensation due from Bangalore - City Municipality on account of S.No.2 acquired for Hindu Burial ground and from Lalbagh funds on account of S.No.5 acquired for Lalbagh extension sends up the replies received from the Municipal Commissioner and the -- Superintendent Government gardens. The Municipal Commissioner states that it is not possible to consider the claims for compensation at this distance of time while the Superintendent Government Gardens says that there are no records in his office bearing on this subject.

As the claim is a very old one and the connected records are not available I submit the matter for such orders of Government as they deem fit. We should not entertain claims that were not pressed upon.

The entire records received from the Deputy Commissioner are herewith submitted for kind perusal and return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Assistant Commissioner
Mujrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

RAG

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Genl.

File No. 125-21

Serial No. 28.

No. 2383

Encl: 7 Files

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1521.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The D. C. .....

Date of issue.....16/6/28.....

Signed by.....

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 197 Dy 3-5-1928 re the Compensation amounts due to Attavulla Sha Dangi, Bangalore city. I am directed to observe that in the first para of the said cumn., it is mentioned that this sum has to be paid Rs a sum of Rs 1412-5-0. While in the 2nd para,

t of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0125—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

stated that as the Maharajah failed to select other lands, the payment of cash compensation was sanctioned vide G.O. No. R. 12876-7/L.R 372-19-2 dt 11th May 1920. I am to enquire whether any amount is still due to the Maharajah and if so, what the amount is. The amount of the said compensation amount was paid, and

(2) The favour of a very early reply is requested

(3) The records are herewith returned for reference.

I have etc.

Sd/- [illegible]
for Secretary

14.5.28

OFFICE OF THE ECONOMIC-BOTANIST,
AND SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT GARDENS IN MYSORE

LAL-BAGH, BANGALORE,

Dated...25.....February..... 1928

FROM

H. C. JAVARAYA, ESQ., L.Ag., F L S, F R H S,
Offg: *Economic Botanist*
and Superintendent, Government Gardens in Mysore.

C3 2168-26

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
B a n g a l o r e .

Subject:-- Payment of compensation amount due to Attavalla Sha Darga, Bangalore City.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C. 3/24-6 dated 8th November last and subsequent correspondence ending with your No. C. 3/24/26 dated 17th instant regarding the issue of orders for the payment of the compensation due to the Attavalla Shah Darga, Bangalore City for resumption of a portion of the Darga premises required for Lalbagh Valley, I have the honour to state that I have not received any reply from the Revenue Authorities in this office. The Revenue Authorities are not parties in this Department, It is seen that the question of payment of the compensation amount has not been dealt with by the Deputy Commissioner when the lands were made over to the Department by the Revenue Authorities.

35752

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, servant,

H. C. Javaraya

Offg. Economic Botanist.

FROM

H. C. JAVARAYA, ESQ

L.Ag., F.L.S., F.R.H.S.,

Offg. *Economic Botanist and Superintende*
Government Gardens in M

B

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

4 JULY 1928

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....3rd July.....1928.

No. 197 Qu 17 file
25-6.

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

31
Muz 125-21-29

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.3383/F.F.125-21-28 dated the 16th instant, I have the honor to state that in the Government Order No.R.12876-7/F.R.373-19-2 dated the 11th May 1920 referred to in para 2 of this office letter No.C.197/25-26 dated 3rd May 1928 the payment of a sum of Rs.1921-13-3 was sanctioned. (Sl 27) Out of this sum of Rs.510-5-3 has been paid by depositing the same in Savings Bank in favour of the institution. Thus as stated in para 1 of this office letter referred to above the institution has to be still paid a sum of Rs.1413-8-0.

The records are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mus

File No. 125-U.

Serial No. 30

No. 105 Rec one file

To The Mus Cmr

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 17/7/28

Signed by Mr L.H. Sumanadasa

Sir,

Adverting to Correspondence ending with your letter no. 197 Dy 4th July 1928 requesting that one of a sum of Rs 1931-13-3 Sanctioned in D.R. Order no. 12576 T/L R 37-19 Dy 11-5-1920 in favor of Attanalla Cha Danga near Lal Bagh, Bambalapitiya a sum of Rs 519-4-3 has been paid by depositing the same

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD0025—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

Savings Bank for the mistry
The balance viz Rs 1412-8-0
being Stile due to the Dargah,
I am directed to invite ref.
to your previous Corr. no. C 590 of 22-3
dt 31.3.1923 dt 4.4.1923 (enclosing an
extract of Bangalore D. Rs
letter dt 14-3-1921) addressed to
the Comptr reporting that
the full amount viz Rs 1931-13-3
Saved in the L.R. order
Refd. to is under Savings
Bank Deposit and that
arrangements have been made
to the interest being paid
to the Mahzavadar, and
to request whether the information
~~reported by you~~, any
balance is Stile due
to the mistry as reported by you.
(2) The records are
returned for ref & re Rule.

I have &c

D(D) Fin. Secy

MM 13/7/23

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
2 AUG 1928
No

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....31st...J...u...l...y.....1928.

2nd August

No. 197 Service 25-6

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
3 AUG 1928
MUZRAI
D 194

May be kept-30-a

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.106/Muz.125-21 dated the 17th instant regarding the claim of Attavulla Sha Darga near Lalbagh ----- Bangalore City for certain compensation amount, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District who was asked to send up the Savings Bank Pass Book in which the sum of Rs.1931-13-3 was reported to have been deposited in accordance with Government --- Order No.12876-7/R. Rs.372-12 dated 11th May 1920 stated in his further report No.936/20-21 dated 25th September 1923 that this amount was not actually credited to the Savings Bank account as the items included in the said amount could not be traced in the Treasury account. A copy of this letter has already been forwarded to Government with this office letter No.C.590/22-23 dated the 13th October 1923.

The enclosures received with your letter are herewith re-submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner.
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from station.)

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 125 - 21.

Serial No. 131

No. 1674 Enc 12 Pls

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The M. C. .....

Date of issue 22/12/28

Signed by M. H. Somasekhara Iyer

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. 194 Dy 2nd August 1928 Re The Compensation amount due to Attavulla Shah Dargah in The Bangalore city, I am directed to request you to point out The Source from which the Sum of Rs 1412-8-0 being the balance of Compensation stated to be due to the

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Amount

Examined by.....

over

can be paid

[illegible] the records are [illegible]

for [illegible] & [illegible] Sutton

I have the

[illegible] Jan 2 - [illegible]

C. 13-

5 AUG 1929

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....2nd August 1929.

No. 1493 5.8.29. C42 Rec'd Bundle 29-30 of records

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1074/Muz.125-21 dated the 19th February 1929 regarding the amount due to Attavullasna Marga at Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.3-248/26 dated the 3rd July 1929 and to state that the amount due is the compensation for lands taken up for the extension and for the Burial ground. As such the Lalbagh and the Municipality have to bear the cost inclusive of interest. The Municipal President has as stated in my letter of the 3rd May 1928 written to say that as there are no records connected with the case in the absence of true particulars it is not possible to consider this claim at this distance of time. A reply has been received from the Superintendent of ~~Government~~ Government gardens that no records are available in his office. I have already stated in my letter above referred to that the claim need not be entertained as it was not pressed in time.

If at all the amount has to be paid it has to be paid by the Gardens Department and the Municipality.

The entire records received from the Deputy Commissioner are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner
For-Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-248/26 dated 3rd July 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Payment of compensation to Attavulla Sha Darga, Bangalore City.

With reference to correspondence ending with your memo No.197/25-26 dated nil forwarding copies of letter No.1674/Muz.25-21 dated 19th ----- February 1929 from the Financial Secretary to Government on the above subject and requesting me to point out the source from which the balance of the compensation amount stated to be due to the institution can be paid, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Bangalore City from whom a report had been called for in the matter suggests that as the -- lands in question were acquired evidently for the use of the Municipality the Municipality may be asked to pay the amount now, and in case the --- Municipality fails to pay the amount, it will have to be paid from the state funds.

2. Under the circumstances explained by the Amildar, the suggestion made by him appears to be the only solution out of the difficulty. I request you therefore to kindly address the Municipal President in the matter.

3. The entire file of records connected with the case is also submitted herewith.

"C o p y"

For Mukrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Insp

File No. 125 = 21

Serial No. 33

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 399 Ins
- India
Records

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

..... The Dr. C.

Date of issue 22-8-29

Signed by .....

st of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ende
with your letter no c 42/29 30 &
3rd Aug 29 regarding the amou
due to Attakutteera Dargs
at Bangalore, I am directe
to observe that when an awa
has been made for a specific
of land by a competent
authority, the amount du
under it should be paid
The awardee, and to exp
why part payments we
made in the over

under advertence - A

(1) The award may be sent for reference

(2) The records are returned

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore,.....24th September.....192
25

No. 42
29-30

Mis 125-21-34

FROM A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

SECRETARIAT
26 SEP 1929
MUZRAI
19.5.56

To

3982 S. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.609/Muz. dated the 9th -- instant forwarding list of suo cases pending in this office for -- more than 6 months, I have the honor to state that serial No.55 -- referred to in the list relates to the claim of Hazarath Attavulla Sha Darga at Bangalore for certain compensation amount. In reply to your communication No.1674/Muz.125-21 dated the 21st February - 1929 referred to in the list a report was submitted in this office letter No.C.42/29-30 dated the 3rd July, 1929 to the effect that if the compensation amount has to be paid it has to be paid from Garden Department and the Municipality. Further information as to why part payment were made has been called for by you in your letter -- No.399/Muz.125-21-33 dated the 23nd August 1929 and the Deputy Commissioner has been written to in the matter and his reply is awaited

I request you therefore that this reference may be removed from the list now sent of cases pending reply for over 6 months. I further request that action may kindly be taken to have the claim settled or the Municipality and the Garden Department at an early

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
24 JUN
No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....17th June.....*193* 0.

No. L12 25.6.30
6 files + Petitioner + one copy

Mcc 25/6/30

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Mcc 125- 21-35

Rajasevaparayana
R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference toyour letter No.399/M.F.125-21-33 dated 22-8-29 enquiring why part payments were made for acquisition of land belonging to Attavulla Sha Darga of Bangalore City and to send copy of the award passed on the occasion,. I have the honor to state that it is reported that neither the records nor the award orders are available.

2 It is seen from the records that the following lands belonging the institution were acquired for varios public purposes in the Annipur village.

S.No.	Total extent of the S.No.	Extent acquired.	Rate of compensation.	Amou of Com sati
2	14-13	7- 7	100-0-0	712-8-
		1- 0	"	100-0-
10	12- 0	12- 0	125-0-0	1640-10
16	1- 5	1- 5	125-0-0	
5	8-10	8-10	175-0-0	1443-12
				3901-14

3) Out of R 1640-10-0 awarded for S.Nos.10 & 16 a sum of R 1121-4 was paid to the mortgagees of portions of S.Nos.2 & 10 and the bala of R. 519-5-3 which was kept in the Revenue Deposit was drawn and deposited in the Savings Bank Account.

Out of R. 1443-12-0 awarded as compensation for S.No. 5, a sum R. 848-12-0 was paid to the mortgagees thereof.

Thus the compensation that was due to the institution in respe of S.No. 2 and a portion of S.No. 5 has not yet been paid.

As regards S.No. 2 it is seen from the Khetwar and Vasul Baki Ta that the total area of the land was A. G. 14-13 and in the re-survey during 1907-08 the extent of land out of this S.No.which was still in the possession of the Darga was formed into two S.Nos. viz., R.S. No.14 measuring A. G. 1-22 and R.S. No.16 measuring A. G. 1-37 (total of the 2 S.Nos.3-19). Therefore extent of land actually acquired out of old S.No.2 belonging to the Darga for which compensation is still due is A.-G. 10-34.

This extent of A.-G. 10-34 was acquired for the following purposes.

A.-G.	
8- 9	were utilized for the Hindu and Mohammedan burial grounds.
2-25	" given to one Appu Reddy as exchange land for having acquired his land for the Ial-Bagh Extension.
10-34	

The rate of compensation for the acquisition of this S.No.has been fixed at Rs. 100/- an acre in G.O. No.R.12876-7/L.R.372-19-2 dated 11-5-1920 In accordance with the approved rate, the compensation amount due to the institution for the extent acquired works out at Rs. 1085/-.

Out of the total area of A.-G. 8-10 forming S.No.5 an extent of A.-G. 4-34 had been mortgaged. Out of the compensation awarded, a sum of Rs. 848-12-0 had to be paid for the redumption of the mortgage and there remained a balance of Rs. 595/- which had to be paid to the Darga.

In the circumstances the Darga has to get Rs. 1680/- in all (Rs. 1085--Rs. 595 by way of compensation.

Further the facts mentioned below will also have to be taken into consideration in assessing the total amount of compensation due to the Darga. A sum of about Rs. 400/- had been paid by Government towards interest on the mortgage of S.Nos. 2 & 10 which had been taken into possession so far back as 1878 for the period between the date of possession and the date of payment of interest and this has been deducted from the compensation awarded to the Darga while the delay in payment and the consequent accumulation of interest was solely due to the action of Government for which the Darga was not responsible. In the result the Darga has lost a further sum of Rs. 400/ out of the compensation amount awarded for S.No. 10 & 16 (Vide details furnished in the margin.)

Mortgage money.

On S.No. 2	156-12-9
" Do. 10	564- 0-0
Interest on Rs. 156-12-9 @ 6% for 18 years and 6 months from 1878 to 1896.	169- 4-2
" " " Rs. 564-0-0 @ 6% for 7 years from 1889 to 1896.	231- 3-1
	Rs. 1121- 4-3

Taking the time that has elapsed from the date when the compensation amount fell due to the Darga and the actual payment thereof, the Deputy Commissioner in his letter No.C3-248/28-29 dated 22-2-30 (copy of which is herein enclosed) recommends that a sum of Rs. 2905 as per

per details mentioned in the margin may be paid to the Darga in full and final satisfaction of all the claims of the Darga out of the General revenues, as the Municipality has declined to pay it at this distance of time, in view of the facts that the lands have been acquired for the extension of Lal-Bagh and for the Hindu and Mahomeddan burial ground.

Compensation for S.No.2 of Annipur village 10 a. -34 g. @ R. 100/- an acre.		R 1085	
S.No.5. Out of 8 a.-10 g. and extent of 4 a.-34 g. mortgaged. Balance 3 16			
Total compensation allowed.	1443-12-0		
Deduct mortgage amount paid.	848-12-0	595	
Interest paid out of the compensation due to the Darga.		400	
Lump sum interest recommended by the Deputy Commissioner.		820	
	Total.	R 2900	

2) The claim is long outstanding and requires to be settled immediately. The Deputy Commissioner's proposal is quite equitable and I beg to recommend that it may kindly be sanctioned very early.

3) The records bearing on the subject are herewith enclosed for kind reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

As regards representation (a) it would appear from the available records that in 1878 an area of 7 acres - 7 guntas out of Maji S.No.2 of Annipur Village, Pangalore Taluk, was ordered to be taken up for a Hindu Burial ground. It would also appear that 4 acres - 25 guntas out of 7 acres - 7 guntas was in the possession of the Darga and the rest 2 acres - 22 guntas in the possession of Mavalli Lakappa as mortgagee. From a private copy of the Judicial Assistant Commissioner's order of 18-6-1879 in O.S. No.64 of 1879 on the file of his Court produced by the Manager of the Darga but which is not in this office records it is seen the land value was fixed at R. 30/- per acre amounting to R. 215-4/- and the value of Malki R. 508/- and the total is R. 723-4-0. This order of the Judicial Assistant Commissioner mentions the numbers out of which the area was taken up for the Hindu Burial ground as Nos.1 and 3 and does not make any reference to the fact of a portion having been mortgaged to Lakappa and there is further nothing to show that this order of the Judicial Assistant Commissioner was given effect to or that this order relates to this particular land. For, we find that consequent on the agitation of Lakappa, Government ordered in their order No.8026/R.3133 dated 6-3-1896 that Lakappa should be paid his mortgage money with interest at 6% per annum from the date of dispossession. Lakappa was accordingly paid a sum of R. 326-0-11 on 15-3-1898 in redemption of his mortgage along with certain other sums due to him as mortgagee of portions of S.Nos. 10 and 16 of Annipur belonging to the Darga. The receipt given by Lakappa on 15-3-1898 shows that this sum of R 326-0-11 is made up of R. 156-12-9 principle and R 169-4-2 interest.

It would appear that it was later on found that the area which was in possession of Lakappa in S.No.2 was 3 acres - 25 guntas and not 2 acres - 22 guntas. However, of this area of 3 acres - 25 guntas, an extent of 3 acres was given to Appa Reddy as land compensation under the Deputy Commissioner's oral orders together with some money compensation for his chattram and lands attached thereto which had been acquired for the extendion of the Lal-Bagh. Subsequently, the remaining extent of 25 guntas together with 15 guntas out of the 3 acres given to Appa Reddy were appropriated for a Mahomedan Burial ground.

The authorities of the Darga having later on complained that a larger area than 8 acres - 7 guntas (7-7 plus 1 acre) had been appropriated

appropriated for the Hindu and Mahomedan Burial grounds, the District Surveyor was deputed to measure the area covered by them. On actual measurement the District Surveyor reported that 8 acres - 5 guntas had been used as a H.i n d u Burial ground and that 1 acre - 13 guntas had been used as a Mahomedan Burial ground, vide District Surveyor's report Flag G. On further references from the Deputy Commissioner, Government in their order No.R.2469-73/L.R.518-06-11 dated 5-11-1912 ordered that as the extent covered by the Hindu and Mahomedan Burial grounds was given by the Deputy Commissioner as 8 acres - 7 guntas (7-7 plus 1 acre) and by the District Surveyor as 9 acres - 18 guntas (8-5 1 acre - 13 guntas) the area actually appropriated should be precisely determined and the Darga paid compensation at Rs 100/- per acre. No further action seems to have been taken under the Government Order and adopting the measurements given by the District Surveyor, the total area taken out of S.No.comes to 8acres 5 guntas plus 1 acre - 13 guntas = 9-18. In your letter No.C.197/25-26 dated 18/20-10-1927 it is stated that a sum of R 817-8-0 being the compensation amount for S.No.2 acquired for Hindu Burial ground is still due to the Darga; presumably the amount was calculated at R 100/- per acre and that for 8 acres 7 guntas. But the Survey Accounts -- Vasul Baki Takta and account No.8 show that the area of old S.No.2 was 14 acres - 11 guntas, that the portion that remained to the Darga after giving deductions for Burial grounds &c., was divided into 2 S.Nos. viz. S.Nos.14 and 16 measuring 1 acre - 22 guntas and 1 acre - 37 guntas respectively at the time of Re-Survey, that out of the remaining extent of 10 acres - 35 guntas remained with Appareddy. It is therefore possible that an area of 8 acres-9 guntas only (10 acres - 34 guntas minus 2 acres - 15 guntas) might have been utilized as Burial grounds. It may therefore be now taken that the area of 8 acres - 9 guntas is final and the compensation for this area at R. 100/- per acre amounting to R. 822-8-0 may be considered as being due.

The Manager of the Darga having claimed interest on the amounts of compensation due in respect of 9 acres - 18 guntas in question and the Muzrai Commissioner in his letter No.C.197/25-26 dated 15/20-10-1927 having acquired from ~~that~~ what date the interest has to be paid, the then Deputy Commissioner in his letter No.C3-248-26 dated 13-3-28 has reported that interest may have to be calculated from 1893. In letter No.1496/Muz.135-21-26 dated 16-4-1925, the Revenue Secretary has stated that the party will be entitled if at all to interest from the date of the award till the amount

amount was paid to him or placed at the credit of the institution in Savings Bank or other investment. In the case in point the compensation amount does not seem to have been paid because it is on record that the amount was kept by in anticipation of the party selecting some suitable land to be granted to the Darga in exchange for the lands acquired and the Managers of the Darga failed up to the year 1916 to select suitable lands for one reason or other.

As regards representation (b) G.O. No.R.2469-73/L.R.578-06-11 dated 5-11-1912 shows that the areas acquired in S.Nos.10, 16 and 5 of Annipur are as shown below:-

S.No. 10	Area taken	12- 00	1888	for Lal-Bagh Extension.
16	"	1- 50		
5	"	8-10	1893	Do.

Now 9 acres - 7 guntas out of the area of 12 acres acquired out of S.No.10 had been mortgaged to Lakkappa. The mortgage was recognised at the Inam Settlement. Simultaneously with the acquisition of lands in S.No. 10 an area of 1 acre - 5 guntas was also acquired for the extension of the Lal-Bagh out of S.No 16. A sum of R. 1500/- was awarded as compensation for the 12 acres acquired out of S. No.10 and a sum of R 140-10-0 for the area of 1 acre - 5 guntas acquired out of S.No.16. Total R. 1640/-. Out of this amount of R. 1640/- a sum of R. 1121-4-9 was paid to Lakkappa as per details given below:-

R 156-12-9 Mortgage money - principle - in respect of the portion mortgaged to Lakappa in S.No. 2 of Annipur.

R. 169-4-2 Interest thereon.

R. 564-0-0 Mortgage money - principle - in respect of the portion mortgaged in S.No. 10.

R 231-3-10 Interest thereon.

Total principle	R. 720-12-9
Interest	400- 8-0
Grand Total.	1121-4- 9

The balance of R 519-5-3 (R. 1640-10-0 minus R. 1121-4-9) was credited to Savings Bank Account No.6247 standing in the name of the Darga in the Bangalore Taluk Treasury.

As regards S.No.5 it measured 8 acres - 10 guntas assessed at R. 11/-; the entire S.No. was acquired in 1893; but an extent of 4 acres - 34 guntas out of that area had been mortgaged to one Mr. Garudachar by the Darga authorities prior to 1853. This mortgage was recognised and confirmed by the Inam Department on condition that the mortgagee should pay to the Darga a sum of R. 6/- annually towards quit-rent. A sum of R. 1447-12-0

Rs 1447-12-0 (Rs 1443-12-0 according to some statements in the file) was fixed as money compensation for the entire S.No. at Rs 175/- per acre. Out of this amount Rs 1443-12-0 a sum of Rs 848-12-0 was fixed as compensation for the lands in the possession of Mr. Garudachar and both the Darga authorities and the mortgagee were required to select lands to be given as exchange. Finally Government in their order No.R.4570-3/L.R.641-04-10 dated 25-9-1905 directed that 4 acres - 34 guntas out of S.No. 10 of Uttanahalli village should be purchased with the money of Rs 848-12-0 and the area granted to the Darga in exchan for the Inam land taken up on the same terms as those under which the the land taken up was held. As regards the amount of compensation which was payable to the Darga viz., Rs 595/- (R 1443-12-0 minus 848-12-0) in respect of the remaining area of 3 acres - 16 guntas (8 acres - 10 guntas minus 4 acres 34 guntas) there is nothing in the records to show that this amount was drawn and paid to the Darga. In this case also the non-payment seems to be due to the fact that there was an expectation of the Darga selecting suitable lands which however it did not do.

In 1915 the following lands were acquired:-

		A- G.	
Mavalli S.No.	18	1-10	Main gate of the Lal-Bagh.
	41	1- 9	

A sum of Rs 985-4-1 was awarded as compensation for the total area acquired (1 acre - 9 guntas plus 1 acre - 10 guntas). The entire amount has been credited on 29-6-23 to Savings Bank Account No.6247 standing in the name of the Darga in the Bangalore Taluk Treasury.

In 1918 an extent of 1 acre - 18 guntas in S.No. 38 of Dodbailknana village was acquired by the Municipality for Visveswarapuram extension. A sum of R 1743-6-5 was awarded as compensation for the lands acquired. As Jodi to the extent of R 52-6-5 was outstanding against the S.No. at the time of the acquisition the amount was deducted out of the compensation and the remaining amount viz., Rs 1743-6-5 minus Rs 52-6-5 i.e. Rs 1691/- was credited to Savings Bank Account No.6247 on 27-2-1922.

Again in 1920 an extent of 1 acre - 29 guntas in S.Nos.127 and 128 in Didbylakhana village was acquired by the Municipality for purposes of the Bamboo Bazaar Road and the present Jubilee Park. A sum of Rs 3049/- was aw awarded as compensation and the entire sum credited to the Savings Bank Account said above on 27-9-1921.

From the records it is found that S.No.3 of Annipur and 130 of Doddail-khana were acquired about the year 1887-8 but the compensation awarded

R 1858-14-7 was paid in part to the tenants or others entitled to R 418/- representing the value of land was ordered to be kept in deposi (vide G.O. No.6308-9-207/22-12-88) and the amount was credited to Savings Bank account on 5-1-1907.

Thus the only two instances so far traced in which the Darga has not been paid compensation or granted any lands in exchange are (1) In the case of S.No. 2 of Annipur Serial No.1 of the report comprising the extent of 9 acres - 18 guntas at R 100/- per acre and in which the compensation for the full area due to him as Lakappa has been reimbursed out of the compensation amount paid in other cases mentioned infra. (2) In the case of 3 acres - 16 guntas acquired out of S.No. 5 of Annipur at R. 175/- or R. 595/- deducting the area for which exchange land has been granted.

(c)The Managers of the Darga contend that the interest of R. 400-8-0 paid to Lakappa on his mortgage amounts in respect of S.Nos.2 and 10 of Annipur village for the period between the dates of dispossession and actual payment ought to have been paid from the State Funds and not from the compensation amount awarded for the Darga lands which ought properly to have gone to the Darga and that therefore this sum of R 400-8-0 must go to the Darga. It is to be mentioned that Government in their order No.8086/R.3133 dated 6-3-1896 ordered the payment of R 720-10-9 to Lakappa in redemption of his mortgage together with interest thereon at 6% per annum from the date on which he was dispossessed of the mortgage properties. It is found from the records that the amount of R 400-8-0 was paid to Lakappa out of R 1640/- awarded as compensation for areas acquired out of S.Nos. 10 and 16. This contention appears to be reasonable.

As regards representation (d). It is seen from the Savings Bank Account No.6247 said above (copy of account enclosed) that in the year 1907 a sum of R. 418/- being the balance of the amount of compensation awarded in respect of S.No.150 of Dodlylakhana village was deposited to the account presumably as per G.O. No.6308-9-267 dated 22-12-1888. Later on a total sum of R 6244-9-4 was deposited to the credit of the Darga from 1921 as follows:-

R 3049-0-0	27-9-1920	This represents the compensation paid to the Darga on account of 1 acre - 29 guntas acquired in S.Nos.127 and 128 of Dodbylakhana village in 1920.
R 1681-0-0	27-2-1922	This amount represents the balance of compensation amount paid in respect of 1 acre - 18 guntas of S.No.38 of Dodbylakhana village acquired in 1918.
R 985-4-1	29-6-1923	This represents the compensation paid for lands acquired in S.Nos.18 and 41 of Mavalli village in 1915.

Rs 519-5-3	31-8-1923	Balance of compensation amount paid in 1896 in respect of S.Nos.10 and 16 of Annipu village as stated above.
Rs 5244-49-4		

From the Savings Bank Account it is clear that on 7-6-23 only a sum of Rs 4865-3-2 was at the credit of the Darga in the Account. Out of this a sum of R. 4750/- was withdrawn on 7-6-23and invested in the purchase of 7% State Loan Bonds; the balance of R. 1494-9-4 is still in Savings Bank Deposit. After this sum of R. 4750/- was withdrawn on 7-6-23 for investment in in the 7 per cents, the two sums of R. 985-4-1 and R. 519-5-3 referred to above were deposited. The reason for the delay in the credit of these items from 1915 in the one case and R. 1896/- in the other is that these amounts which were in deposit had lapsed and had to be refunded and transferred to Savings Bank Account; so on 4-4-22 the alleged date of the Muzrai Secretary's letter to the Manager of the Darga, a sum of R 4975/- was not available at all for investment in the 7% State Loan. Therefore the representation of the Manager that a sum of R 225/- has not been accounted for does not require any consideration.

From the above it is clear that the authorities of the Darga rightly contend that the following items of money are due to the institution.

(1) Compensation amount.

1. 8 acres - 9 guntas	R 822-8-0
2. 3 acres -16 guntas	R 595-0-0
	R 1417-3-0

(2) Interest paid to Lakappa out of the compensation awarded. 400-3-0

R 1818-6-0

In addition to this interest in the case of item (1) from the date of dispossession and in the case of item No.(2) from the time of payment to Lakappa are claimed. It is not possible to calculate this at this distance of time. But it would perhaps be sufficient if a lumpsum amount say R 1000 is awarded to recompense the loss.

The total amount that would settle this long pending case would be R. 2818/-. This amount may be caused to be credited to the funds of the institution very early or lands at 1½ times the extent of lands are available near Bangalore or twice adjoining his Jodi village of Sarvamanya Bingipura in the Anekal Taluk, be granted in exchange for full settlement of this claim with a reasonable sum to bring the lands under cultivation -- which may perhaps also amount to R. 1000/-. But as the selection of lands further delay the final disposal of this very old and long pending

and would make it more complex, it may be better to settle the matter finally by paying off R. 2000/- adding to the Savings Bank Account of the Institution. This amount has to be paid out of the general Revenue as the Municipality has declined to pay it now and as the lands have been acquired (1) for the extension of Lal-Bagh and (2) for the Hindu and Mahomedan Burial ground.

The records bearing on the subject as noted in the margin* are enclosed.

* 1. Six files as per pherist.
2. Two petitions.

"C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

28th October 1930

949

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

It is stated in your Unofficial Note No. 3.42 of 29-3 dated the 10th September 1930 furnishing information in regard to payments made on account of acquisition of land belonging to Attavulla Shah Darga of Bangalore City that the original acquisition records on the subject were sent to the Civil Court in 1891-92. I am directed to request you to be so good as to state to which Civil Court the records were sent and the particular case in connection with which they are still pending there. The favour of an early reply is requested.

I have the honor to be, 9/10/30
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

for Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

C.R.G.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *193*

Date of issue 29-10-30

Signed by Jnr U S Sastry

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Misc 3

File No. 125-21

Serial No. ~~949~~ 36.

No. 949

To

The M C

Sir,

It is stated in ~~Sea from~~ ~~Were not sent~~ G.O. No. C 42/29-30 dt 10/9/30 furnishing information in regard to payments made on account of acquisition of land belonging to Allavalla Sha Durga of Bangalore city that the original acquisition records were sent to the Civil Court in 1891-92. I am directed to request

[over

You to be so good as to State
to which
~~precisely the particular~~ Civil
Court ~~to which~~ the records
were sent & the particular
Case in connection with which
they are now pending trial. The favour
an early
of ~~an early date~~ reply
is requested.

Yours etc

28.10.30.

For Secy to Govt

Law dept

A 1851
27/10

Misc 95

qmr/125-21-37

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 15th November *193*

17

GEN'L & REV SECRETARIAT. 18. NOV. 1930 733 MUZRAI.

No. 42
29-30

FROM

S. T. Rajagopalachari, Esq, B.A., B.L.,

~~Rajakaryaprasakta~~

~~R.G. D'SOUZA, ESQ,~~

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.949/Muz.125-21 dated the 29th October 1930 enquiring to which Civil Court the records relating to the acquisition of land belonging to Attavulla Sha Darga of ---- Bangalore were sent, I have the honor to state that the information required is contained in the vernacular records sent with this office letter No.42/29-30 dated the 24th June 1930.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Head quarters)

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mercy

File No. 125-24

Serial No. 38

No. 1041

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

To

The Registrar

High Court of Mysore

Date of issue 21/1/31

Signed by Mr V.S. Sastri

Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly obtain and forward for reference and return the records of the original Suit No: 30 of 1892 on the file of the Nandidurg Division District Munsif Court, since the same is required in connection with the payment of compensation

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

[over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

for acquisition of certain lands
belonging to Khaveeka Sha
Dargah of Bangalore city

Haveeka

20.1.31

Dy Financial Adv
19.1.

20.1.31

5314.

Telgm: "JUSTICE" 71.

9 FEB. 1931 GENL. & REV S

High COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore 6th February 1932

R. O. C. No. 881
Dis. 30-31

From H. M. Krisnnaswami,
Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT 9 FEB 1931 114

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.1641/Muzarai dated 21st January 1931, requesting that the records in Original Suit No.30 of 1892 on the file of the Nandidrug Division District Judge's Court may be sent for reference and return, I am directed to forward herewith the above mentioned records for reference, and to request that the same may be returned when no longer required.

I have the honour to be,
Sir.
Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

Mys 125-21-39

11th August
13

252 Encl. to Land Records
Mys 125-21

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

With reference to your U.O. No.42/29-30 dated 25th April 1931 furnishing information about the compensation amount due on account of certain lands belonging to Attavulla Shah Darga, Bangalore acquired for various Government purposes, I am directed to forward herewith -- copy of further No.B.II-54 dated 29th July 1931, U.O.36 Spl.dated 30th July 1931 received from the Comptroller in the matter and to enquire how the award amounts of S.Nos.2 and 5 were dealt with. Records received with your letter No.42/29-30 dated 24th June 1930 are returned herewith for reference and retransmission.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subrahmanyasastri

For Financial Secretary to Governme

NSG.2.

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Misc 3

File No. 125-21

Serial No. 39

Diary No. of letter replied to .

No. 252/one & one bundle of Rec Records

To The M.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 13.8.31.

Signed by Mr V.S

List of enclosures Nil

Copied by 13/8/31

Examined by.....

Sir,

With reference to your R.O. No 42/29-30 of 25/4/31 furnishing information about the Compensation amount due on account of certain lands belonging to the Shah Durga Bangalore required for various Govt purposes, I am directed to forward herewith copy of further

No BII. 52 of 29/7/1931

O. 36. 501 of 30.7.31

received from the Comptr
in the matter and to
enquire how the award
amounts of S. Nos 2 & 3
were dealt with. Records
received with your letter
No 42/29-30 of 24.6.1930
are returned herewith for
reference and retaining on record.

Name etc

11/8/31

for Secy to Govt
Forests

Ch
10/8/31

No. C.42/29-30

Encl Records

Jm/125-11-40

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 4th January *193*3.

FROM M.Navaneetham Naidu, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
In charge *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,*
Bangalore.

To S.Shamanna, Esqr., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial Department.

~~SIR,~~ M.O. 169

With reference to your letter No.252/Muz.125-21 dated 13th August 1931 enquiring how the award amounts of S.Nos. 2 and 5 of Anipur village, belonging to the Hazarath Attavulla Sha Darga near Lal Bagh have been dealt with, I have the honor to state that no more information could be traced than what is contained in my letter No.42/29-30 dated 26th June 1930 and the copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C3.248/28-29 dated 22nd February 1930 sent therewith. It will be seen therefrom that the award amount has not been drawn in respect of the area acquired out of S.No.2, i,e., 10-34 (a g). A sum of Rs.326-0-11 was no doubt paid to one Lakkappa, in redemption of the mortgage he held on a portion of it but as already reported this amount was paid out of the award amount, not of S.No.2, but of other S.Nos. i,e., 10 & 16 which also belonged to the Darga. The compensation thus payable for this S.No. amounts to Rs.1085/- at the rate of Rs.100/- per acre as fixed in G.O.No.2469-73/L.R.518-06-11 dated 5th November 1912 and G.O.No.R.12876-7/L.R.372-19-2 dated 11th May 1920.

So also as regards the award amount in respect of S.No.5. This S.No. consisted of 8-10 (a g) out of which an extent of 4-34 (a g) had been mortgaged to one Garudachar. Out of the compensation amount of Rs.1443-12- awarded for it, a sum of Rs 848-12-0 was drawn and paid to the said Garudachar in redemption of the mortgage. As there is no evidence to show that the balance of Rs.595/- due to the Darga was drawn and paid, it has to be presumed that this amount has also not been paid.

2. In this connection, I invite kind reference to the detailed report dated 18th January 1910 submitted by the Amildar(Mr.Hayes) and

and contained in the vernacular file sent herwith. The report answers the points raised in the Comptroller's un-official note and explains the previous facts of the case in sufficient detail. The report makes it abundantly clear that payment to mortgagees of portions of S.Nos.2 & 5 of Anipura was made out of the compensation amounts awarded for other lands of the Darga acquired and that the claim of the Darga for the amount due to it in respect of the two numbers was not finally settled in as much as no cash compensation or exchange lands was given.

3. I request early orders on the proposals contained in this office letter No.42/2J-30 dated 24th June 1930.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Navaneetha

Nuzrai Commissioner.
(in charge)

V.S.L.

SUB T .....

.....

.....

Section Mucz

File No. 125-21

Serial No. 41

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1376 Rel. Revds

To

The M.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 23/3/33

Signed by B. Muddukrishnappa

With respect to Correspondence ending with your letter No C42/29-30 & 5/1/33 in respect of a portion of the ~~Certain~~ ~~The matter of the~~ Compensation amount said to be due in favour of Hazarath Allauka Shah Dargah near Lal Bagh Bangalore City, I am directed to state as follows:-

List of enclosures .....

x R.

It was ordered in para 5 of G.O. No R 2469-73/LR 518-06-11 dt 5/11/12 that, as there was a difference in Cobalt registers, The D.C. Bangalore & Shanti

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

to determine precisely the extent
of Anthamavinay Kasaba Hobli Bangal
in S. No 2, acquired & Secretal
the Compensation Rs was paid
to the Makan for the Coconut area
taken up. Thereafter
in your letter no C 91/Glgke/4/20
It was reported that a sum of Rs 1931-13-3 which accompanied the letter
was due to the Makandars (as detailed in the statement)
Govt requested C- to pay the same in Govt
S.B as requested by the Makandar.
This was sanctioned in G.O no.
R 12876-7/Mez 3 342-19-2 dt
H.S-20. It is clear from para
11 of D.C's letter no H.C 663/20-21
dt 16/8/21 addressed to the Muz. Sec
that the said sum was placed
in the Savings Bank in the
Name of the Makkam in May 1920.
Then the question of investing the
whole amount in the Mysore
Govt 7 per cent loan bonds
was considered and instructions
were issued to the Comp^r in letter
no 2847/Mez 3 125-21-6 dt 6.12-21
(Copy of which was sent to the D.C.
vide memo no 2848 of the same date.)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

to transfer the whole amount of Rs 49 80-13-3 to the Loan Bonds. The amount is ~~found~~ made up of Rs 1931-13-3 refd. to above plus Rs 3049/- awarded for S. Nos 127 and 128 of the Makhan acquired later on, and reported by the D.C's ~~in his~~ letter No. OC 3477 of 30/7/21 - Intimation 20-21 of having arranged to transfer the S.B. amount to the State Loan Bonds was given to the Manager of the Durga in this office ~~letter~~ endorsement No 53-21/Rev 125-21-11 of 4-4-21. ~~Kind reference is invited to~~ ~~City office letter No 478/S-2 S-285 of 9-12-21 from.~~ ~~The Cmte's decision to pay~~

13

GPB—20,000—7 7-14

~~Sanction is therefore~~
Accordingly
~~be seen~~ that a Demand Para 9.
Rs 49757/- has transferred
to State Loan Bonds (vide letter No. 478/S/15286
dt 9.12.24 addressed by the
Comptroller to the Murrai
Secy., a copy of which is
enclosed for reference)
~~In these circumstances~~
~~I am to add~~ If will then be seen that there
is no ~~no~~ further
evidence in the Records
~~which goes~~ to show -
that the full amount
in respect of S. Nos 1 to 5 referred to by you,
& Compensation has
been received by
Credited to the Nizam.
~~& to reimburse the Govt~~ (P In the above circumstances,
I am to request that
you may be so
~~pleased~~ to Submit a further
report in the matter
~~of the~~ ~~matter~~ subject.
P The entire Records are returned herewith.

Yours Etc

17/8/33

for Secy to Govt

17

1376 Encl. Records

Mog 125-21

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.C.42/29-30 dated 5th January 1933 in respect of a part of the compensation amount said to be due in favour of Hazarath Attaulla Shah Durga near Lal Bagh, Bangalore City, I am directed to state as follows:-

It was ordered in Para 5 of Government Order No.R.2469-73/L.R.518-06-11, dated 5th November 1912 that, as there was a difference in extent acquired, the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District should determine precisely the extent in S. No.2 of Anjur Village, Kasaba Hobli, Bangalore Taluk acquired and see that the compensation amount was paid to the Makan for the exact area taken up. Thereupon in your letter No.A.C.91/Gl. dated 14th April 1920 it was reported that a sum of Rs.1931-13-3 was due to the Makandar (as detailed in the statement which accompanied the letter) ~~was due to the Makandar~~ and orders requested to deposit the same in Government Savings Bank as requested by the Makandar. This was sanctioned in Government Order No.R.12876-7/Mux. 372-19-2, dated 11th May 1920. It is clear from para 11 of the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.C.663/20-21 dated 14th March 1921 addressed to the ~~Huzur~~ Muzrai Secretary (copy enclosed for ready reference) that the said sum was placed in the Savings Bank in the name of the Makan in May 1920. Then the question of investing the whole amount in the Mysore Government 7 per cent loan bonds was considered an

instructions issued to the Comptroller in Letter No.28 125-21-6, dated 6th December 1921 (Copy enclosed for refere (copy of which was sent to the Deputy Commissioner wit Memo No.2848 of the same date), to transfer the whole amount of Rs.4980-13-3 to the Loan Bonds. This amount is made up of Rs.1931-13-3 referred to above plus Rs.3049/- awarded for S. Nos.127 and 128 of the Makan acquired later on and referred to in the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.O.C.3477/20-21 of 30th July 1921 (copy enclosed for ready reference). Intimation of having arranged to transfer the Savings Bank amount to the State Loan Bonds was given to the Manager of the Durga in this Office Endorsement No.5521/Muz. 125-21-11 dated 4th April 1921 (Copy enclosed). Accordingly a sum of Rs.4975/- was transferred to State Loan Bonds (Vide letter No.478/S.L. 5285 dated 9th December 1921 addressed by the Comptroller to the Muzrai Secretary copy of which is enclosed for reference). It will thus be seen that there is unmistakable evidence in the connected records to show that the full amount of compensation in respect of S. Nos.2 and 5 referred to by you has been received and credited to the Makan.

In the above circumstances, I am to request that you may be so good as to submit a further report in the matter.

The entire records returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Financial Secretary to Government.

Aga/2

M.C.13.]
No. 64-17-30

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, ... 193

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....Department.

SIR,

[illegible] position in respect of [illegible] and I thus appear to [illegible] the Deputy Commissioner also, after [illegible] copy of this letter dated [illegible] I request you to [illegible] obtain [illegible] the above letter.

The file of vernacular correspondence is enclosed

I have the honor to

Sir,

Your most obedient [illegible],

[illegible]

for Secretary.

Statement showing the compensation awarded for the acquisition of lands of Hazarath Attawallisha Dargha near Bangalore City, and its disposal.

Sl. No.	Name of village.	S.No.	Extent acquired. A. G.	Year of acquisition.	Purpose of acquisition	Amount awarded	Disposal of the amount. S.B.Account Date	Amt.	Paid to mortgagees Date	Name	Amt.	Kandayam pd. Date	Amt.	Bal due
														717-8
														100-0
1.	Annipur	2	7 7 ✓	1897	Hindu Burial Ground.	717- 8-0 ✓								
	"	2	1 0 ✓	1901	"	100 -0-0								
	"	10	12 0 ✓	1888	For extension of Lalbagh	1040-10-0 ✓	31-8-1923.	519-5-3	March 1928	Lakkappa.	1121-4-9 ✓			
2.	"	16	1 5 ✓	"	"	1443-12-0 ✓				Gurudas Chetty	443-12-0 ✓			595-0
3.	"	5	0 10 ✓	1893	"									
4.	"		0 27	1918	For improving Lalbagh	905- 1-1	29-6-1933	435-4-1						
5.	Devalli	1		1887	For Model Farm	418- 0-0	5-1-1927	418-0-0						
6.	Doddabidakere	103												3-8-0
7.	"	18	1 30	1913	Water supply extension	1713-12-3	27-2-1928	1061-0-0						
8.	"	Items 82 127 & 133	1 15	1930	For extension of Lalbagh Botanical Gardens & for the purpose of the Road	3019- 0-0	7-9-1931	3019-0-0						
			3 2			10097- 8-0		6068-0-4				19	0-0-9	00-0-0 1128-3

Remarks

For Special Deputy Tahsildar.

Muzrai D. No 10893-36.

D. O. C42/29-30

Mr. N. Madhava Rau, B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 19th July *1935.*



Dear Sir,

I invite kind reference to this office letter No.C.12 of 28-29 dated 18th April 1935 re: the compensation amount payable to Hazarath Attowalli Sha Durga near Malleshwaram, Bangalore City, and request the favour of early orders in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

To

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department.

335

D. O. C 42/29-30

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI
IN MYSORE,

Mr. N. Madhava Rau, B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner.

Dated Bangalore, 12th September *1935* .

13

Dear Sir,

I invite kind reference again to this office letter No.C.42/28-29 dated 18th April 1935 and D.O. reminder dated 24th July 1935 re: the compensation amount payable to Hazarath Attavalla Sha Darga near Lalbagh, Bangalore City, and request the favour of early orders in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

N. Madhava Rau

To

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 15th November *193* 5

No. 637 Aug 1935 End Records

1935-91

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Wuzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C./2/29-30 dated 18th April 1935 and the subsequent D.O. letters of 24th July 1935 and 13th September 1935 about the compensation amount claimed on behalf of Hazarath Abtayallashah Durga, near Lalbagh, Bangalore City, I am directed to state that the definite statement made by the Deputy Commissioner in para 11 of his letter No.H.C.63/20-21 dated 14th March 1921 viz., that a sum of Rs.1931-13-3 was placed in the Savings Bank in May 1920 in the name of the Pakkan at the request of the Pakkandar cannot now be treated as incorrect merely because in one Savings Bank account relating to the institution, there is no credit between 1907 and 1923, and to request you to ascertain and state if there was only one account in the name of the Pakkan. I am to add that it is possible that there were more accounts as it sometimes happens that there will be an account in the name of the Amildar for an Institution, and another in the name of the Manager and so on. In these circumstances I am to request that this may be verified by a thorough search of accounts being caused to be made, and a further report submitted at an early date. The entire records received with your letter under advertence are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S.R.2

General Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

30 Days

Section Misc

File No. 12-37

Serial No 45

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No 637 Land Records

To The M.C

Sir,

Write with reference to your letter No. C 42/29-30 dt 18.4.35 & the subsequent D.O. letters of 24.7.35 & 13.9.35 about the compensation amount claimed on behalf of Haji Mahomed Abdulla Shah Dargah, near Lalbagh, Bangalore City, I am directed to state that the definite statement made before the D.C. in para 11 of his letter No. Rc. 663/20-21 dt 14.3.21 viz. That an amount of Rs. 1931-13 was placed in the S.B. in the year 1920 in the name of the Mahomedan at the request of the Mahomedans

General and Revenue Secretariat

(|)

the 193 .

Date of issue 15-11-1935

Signed by Yr B. Nandakrishna

Dy Secy

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Can such now be treated as incorrect
Mess[rs] because in my S.B account relating to the visit?
Then was no credit between —
1907 & 1923, and to request
Can he be regarded as to state if there
was only one account in the name
of the Makkan ~~xxx~~
~~Don't bother to look~~
I am to add that
~~It~~ it is possible that more were
more accounts
~~but~~ it sometimes happens
that there will be an account
in the name of an Amildar
for an Instn and also in the
name of the manager &c.
these circumstances I am to
request that a thorough
search of accounts being
caused to be made both
~~as possible as from above~~
~~that may be available~~
~~in the Comptd Genl's Office~~
& a further report be
as early date. The entire
records received both
from Civil Medl Advocate and Records
returned —
Dhanbad
12/11
Copy for your see.

Re 42 Enclosure
29-30

GENL. & REV. SEC 3 1 - JUL. 1937 CH

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 25th June 1937

From

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

GENERAL SECRETARY. 28 JUNE 1937

Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

Sir,

Re. the compensation amount due to the Hazaratn Attaulla Sha Darga near Lalbagh, Bangalore City.

With reference to your letter No.637/Muz.125-31 dated 15-11-1935 enquiring whether there was only one S.B. Account in favour of the Darga or more accounts in its favour in the names of different persons, Amildar, Manager of the Darga, etc., I have the honor to state that the enclosed statement of S.B. Account in the name of the Amildar, furnished by the State Huzur Treasury Officer, was sent to the Deputy Commissioner for verification to find out if there were more accounts than one in the name of the Darga.

2. The Deputy Commissioner states that there is no other account in favour of the Darga, except, the one already ~~referred~~ referred to i.e S.P.Account No.6247.

3. The enclosures accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Mysg 125.21—L.D.

SECRET BRANCH 1312 23 MAY 1938

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 552/S.B. Enc one

Bangalore, dated 19th May 1938.

From

A. N. RAGHAVACHAR, Esq., M.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to
the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office U.O.No.280/S.B. dated 7-12-1937 re: the amount of compensation awarded to the Hazarath Attavallasha Darga near Lalbagh, Bangalore City, I have the honour to inform you that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, was addressed in this office letter No.277/S.B. dated 3-12-1937 asking him to intimate (a) the particulars of the account and the Treasury to which the amount of Rs.1931-13-3 was remitted and (b) the month and the year in which the amount of Rs.1931-13-3 was credited as initial deposit, if any new S.B. Account was opened, and the pass book, if any, may be forwarded He stated in reply in his No.C 3.4152/32-33 dated 16-2-1938 (copy enclosed) that out of the award amount of Rs.3901-14-0, a sum of Rs.1970-0-9 only was paid and a sum of Rs.519-5-3 appears to have been credited to S.B. Account of the Darga viz., Account No.6247 on 31-8-23. It cannot be said definitely now how it was reported then that the entire sum of Rs.1931-13-3 was credited to S.B. Account No.6247, which is perhaps a mistake. This credit for Rs.519-5-3 appears in the S.B. Accounts maintained in this office. In his letter No. C3.4152/32-33 dated 21-4-1938 he explains that the available records in his office show that the sum of Rs.3901-14-0 appears in the accounts of the Darga in the year 1888, the date of which is not ascertainable at this dis-

distance of time and that only a sum of Rs.1,970-0-9 appears to have been paid at different times, as shown below:-

1. March 1898 paid to one Lakkappa mortgagee of S.No.10 and 16 of Annipur.	1,121- 4-9
2. Paid to Garduachar mortgagee of S.No.5 Annipur in 1898.	848-12-0
Total.	1,970- 0-9

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. Lyharnachs

Comptroller.

19/5

S.S.

Letter No.C3.4152/32-33 dated 16-2-1938 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. to the Comptroller in Mysore, Bangalore.

Re: the amount of compensation awarded to the Hazarath Attavallasha Darga near Lal-bagh , Bangalore city.

With reference to your letter No.27//S.B. dated 3-12-37 requesting to furnish certain particulars on the above subject, I have the honour to state that there is no other S.B. Account opened in favour of the above institution, than the one referred to in your letter under reply and to add tnt it is seen from the other available records in this office that out of the total sum of Rs.3901-14-0 awarded as compensation for portions of S.Nos.2,5 10 and 16 of Annipura village, belonging to the above Darga, a sum of Rs.1970-0-9 was paid to the previous mortgagees of the land, leaving a balance of Rs.1931-13-3 which is the amount referred to in this office letter dated 14-3-31 mentioned by you. Out of this only a sum of Rs.519-5-3 appears to have been credited to the S.B. Account of the Darga viz., Account No.6247 on 31-8-23, leaving a balance of Rs.1412-8-0. It is ascertained from local enquiry that this amount still remains to be paid to the Makkandar which he is claiming even now. In the light of these circumstances, it cannot be said definitely now how it was reported then that tne entire sum of Rs.1931-13-3 was credited to S.B. Account No.6247 which is perhaps a mistake.

"Copy".

M. Lakkara Rao 19/5/38
Superintendent, S.B. Section. 19/5/38

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 5758 *Dated, Bangalore,* 18th October 1938.

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Acquisition of certain lands belonging to Hazarath Attaulla Shah Durga near Lalbagh, Bangalore City and the compensation amount claimed by the Durga Authority.

With reference to your letter No.C.3.4152/32-33 dated 16th February 1938 addressed to the Comptroller on the above subject, I am directed to request you to be so good as to have the papers relating to your office letter No.H.C.663/20-21 dated 14th March 1921 on the subject traced and sent here early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd. M. Venkatesa Iyengar
General Secretary to Government.

Aga/5.

Memo No. 5759 Dated, Bangalore the 18th October 1938.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with reference to his letter No.C.42/29-30 dated 26th June 1937 on the subject.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Government.

Aga/2.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mus

File No. 125-21

Serial No. 46

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 5265

To

The D. C. Bangalore Dt

Subj:- Acquisition of certain lands belonging to Haz. mate near Lalbagh Bungalows.

Attahulla Shah Dargah & the Compensation amount claimed by the Durga authority.

Sir,

With ref. to your letter No. C3. 4152/32-33 dt 16-2-38 addressed to the Comp. on the above subject, I am directed to request you to be so good as to have the kindly claim by your office letter No. F-E 063

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue..... 15-10-38

Signed by .....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

Dy 14-3-1924 on the subject quoted
and sent Thakur Lena Singh.

I have etc

[illegible]

569 dy 18/10/38 forwarded
Copy to M.C. with reference to his
letter no C 42/29-30 dy 26/6/37
on the subject.

S.O
[illegible] 15/10

for Chairman

[illegible]
15/10

Rec. G.S.

IMMEDIATE.

In all future communications please quote
No C3-4152/32-33.
Enc

Tel Address —"DEPCOM
Post Box No 34

125-21-47

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the.. 9th November. *193* 8

FROM

K. Subba Rao, Esq., B.A.
Offg. *Deputy Commissioner,*
Bangalore District.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 9 NOV. 1938

GENERAL SECRETARY 8 NOV. 1938

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject.— Re. the payment of certain compensation amounts to the Hazarath Attaulla Sha Darga near Lalbagh, Bangalore City.

--:oOo:--

With reference to your letter No.568/Muz. dated 18th October 1938, requesting that the papers relating to this office letter No.H.C. 663/20-21 dated 14th March 1921 might be traced and sent to you, I have the honour to state that the matter relates to an interpellation by Mr. Channaiya, in the Legislative Council of that year about the non-payment of Compensation for the lands acquired out of the inam lands belonging to the above Darga and that the letter of this office referred to in your letter under advertence, was the reply sent thereto from this office. This correspondence has been given a D2 Disposal (No.1764/20-21) and appears to have been destroyed after two years' preservation as per rules. As it is not available now, it is not possible to send the record called for.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
A R Channarudrayya
Personal Assistant to the Dy. Com
Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

gvm/-

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 1021/Muz 125-2[illegible]-48 *Dated, Bangalore,* 20-12-38.

128

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C.42/29-30 dated 26-6-1937, regarding the compensation amount said to be due to the Hazarath Attaulla Sha Darga near Lalbagh, Bangalore City, I am directed to request you to kindly point out the source from which the amount may be paid.

A very early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

A.M.R.2.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2 Copies

Section Mus 3

File No. 125-21

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 537

No. 1021

To

The M R

General and Revenue Secretariat

the *193* .

Date of issue 20/12/38

Signed by Asst S. K. V. Ayyar

for Secretary

to

Govt

19/12

2

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

W/r. reply to the Govt. enclosing under your letter No C 42/28-30 dt 28.6.1937 re: the compensation amount paid to the dancers to the Hegamans Adambe Shri Dancer near Lalgudi Trichy Dt and directed to request you to furnish ~~the~~ his and the ~~Mathamanickam~~ Nattuvanar from whom the amount was drawn for their

A very early reply is requested

9/12

Muz 125 21.49

181
No. C 42/29-30
Encl one

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 12th January 1939.

FROM S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
[crossed out],
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

829
18 JAN. 1939

GENERAL SECRETARY
17 JAN. 1939
Muz 1-40

To
The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General *Department.*

SIR,

Payment of Compensation Amount
due to the
Hazrath Attaulla Sha Darga, near Lalbagh,
Bangalore City.

With reference to your letter No.1021/Muz.125-21 dated 30th December 1938 requesting me to point out the source from which the compensation amount of Rs 1,412-8-0 due to the Hazrath Attaulla Sha Darga, near Lalbagh, Bangalore City, might be met, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in his letter No.C.4152/32-33 dated 4-1-1939 (copy enclosed), that the compensation amount may be ordered to be met out of the funds of the Bangalore City Municipality and the Gardens Department, in equal proportions, as the lands belonging to the institution were acquired for the formation of the Hindu Burial Ground and the extension of the Lalbagh. I request that, if the suggestion of the Deputy Commissioner is not acceptable, the amount in question may kindly be met as an outright grant from the State Funds, as the lands have been acquired for public purposes.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Abdul Wajid.
Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-4152/32-33 dated 4-1-39 from the Deputy Commissioner, Banga-
lore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Re. the payment of the compensation amount said to be due to the Hazrath Attaullah Gha Darga near Lalbagh, Bangalore City.

With reference to correspondence ending with your Memo No.C.42/29-30 dated 22nd December 1938 requesting me to point out the source from which the above compensation amount might be paid, I have the honor to invite your kind reference to this office letter No.C3-4152/32-33 dated 1st April 1935, wherein it has been clearly reported that at this distance of time it was not possible to state as to who should meet this amount. The purpose for which the lands in question were acquired was for the formation of the Hindu Burial Ground and the extension of Lalbagh. It is therefore suggested that the balance of compensation due to the Darga viz., Rs 1412-8-0 may be ordered to be met out of the funds of the Bangalore City Municipality and the Gardens Department in equal proportions.

"COPY"

K. H. Narasimhaiya

For Muzrai Commissioner.

The
Muzrai

the

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Order No.R.12876-7/L.R.373-19-2 dated 11th May 1920, directing that a sum of Rs.1931-13-3 due to the Nabisha Makhan near Lalbagh Bangalore City on account of compensation for some of its lands acquired from time to time as detailed in the margin be drawn and held in Savings Bank Deposits in the name of the Attavalla Sha Darga Bangalore City.

Annipur Village, Bangalore Hobli.			
	Year of Acqn.	Purpose.	Balance due to the Pakkandar after paying the mortgagees.
S.No.2	1879 & 1901.	Hindu burial ground and Mahomedan Cemetry.	Rs.491-7-1
			" 704-12-2
S.No.10.xx	1888	Extensions	" 140-10-0
16		of Lalbagh.	" 595-0-0
5	1893		Rs.1931-13-3

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.3-4152/32-33 dated 12-1-1939 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District in the matter of crediting to the account of the above Durga a sum of Rs.1,412-8-0 due to it on account of the compensation amount referred to above.

ORDER NO. 15 28-30/Mu3 125-21-50

Dated, Bangalore, the 14th/16th March 1939.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, and the Muzrai Commissioner have reported that out of the above compensation amount a sum of Rs.519-5-0 has already been credited to the Institution and that there is still a balance of Rs.1,412-8-0 which is due to the Durga. The Muzrai Commissioner has requested that this amount may be ordered to be paid to the Durga, the amount being met from State funds as the lands were acquired for a public purpose. In spite of the protracted correspondence and the long investigation, it has not been possible to ascertain why the amount remained unpaid so long, and what happened to the amount if any deposited by the Departments concerned towards compensation. It is however found that the Durga has not been credited with this amount. In these circumstances Government are pleased to sanction the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation and to direct that a sum of Rs.1,412-8-0 (One (One thousand four hundred and twelve and annas eight only) be credited to the Institution. The Comptroller is requested to place the necessary amount at the disposal of the Muzrai Commissioner for the purpose, debiting this amount to the current year's allotment under Town Improvement grants under which savings are expected.

The Muzrai Commissioner is requested to report in due course when the amount is drawn and credited to the account of the Durga.

For General Secretary to Government.

Issued Asst. &c
Dasara

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with records.
" Comptroller to Government of Mysore.
Director of Public Health, [illegible]

S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....829.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Section Mug

File No. 125-21

Serial No. ~~49~~ 50

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue ..16-3-..... 1939.

Signed by .....

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Read—

G.O. no R 12876-7 / LR 372-19-2 dt 18/5/20 sanctioning the sum of Rs 1931-13-3 due to Nabisha Makhan near Lalbagh Bangalore City on account of compensation for some plots of lands ~~having been~~ acquired from time to time as detailed in the margin to be drawn & held in S.B. Deposit in the name of the Akkanna Shah Dargah Bangalore City.

2) Correspondence ending with letter No C_-1152/125-3 dt 12.1.39 from the D.C. Bangalore on the subject.

Annappa Village Bangalore Hobli.

S. No	Year of Acq	Purpose	Balance due, Name of claimant & Caste having the mortgages.	
S. No 2	1879 & 1901	Hindu General Mahomedan Cemetery		Rs 491-7-1
				A/c 704-12-2
S No 10	1888	Extensions of Lalbagh		Rs 140-10-0
" 16	"			Rs 595-0-0
" 51	1893			Rs 1931-13-3

TYPING SECTION 1939

Issue the Assurance

13/3/39

Crediting to the account of the above Durga a sum of Rs 1412-8-0 due to it on account of the Compensation amount recd to above.

over- M/528-30 9/16.3.39

The D.C. & Executive Engr

& the M.C. have reported that out of the above Compensation amount a sum of Rs 519-5-0 has already been credited to the Durgah & that there is still a balance of Rs 1412-8-0 which is due to the Durga. The M.C. has reported that this Amount may be ordered to be paid to the Durga, the amount being met from State funds as the lands were required for a public purpose. In spite of the protracted Correspondence and the local investigation it has not been possible to

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

ascertain why the amount remained unpaid so long, and what liability to the amount if any deposited by the Departments concerned towards compensation amounts. It is however found that the Dumps has not been credited with this amount. In these circumstances I am pleased to sanction the M.C's recommendation to direct that a sum of Rs 1412-8-0 (one thousand, four hundred and twelve and annas eight only) be credited to the Dumps The Comp^y is requested to place the necessary amount at the disposal of the M.C. for the purpose debiting this amount to the current year's allotment under Town Improvement Funds under which Salaries are operated.

The M.C. is requested to report in due course

WD 0436—GPB—10,000—17-10-33

When the amount is drawn
credited to the account of
the Drugs.

for 9/13/27

Dr Allen Sec

T.

Mr M c-Nutt Records

Sec Comptr

Dir of Public Health

9/13 Allen Sec'y to Dir of Pub Hlth

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted

I wish to know from the S. R. Ledger for the years 1918 to that warrant no entry of a credit of the amount now be given in my account.

(2) I have received the vouchers & shall submit them of received

Yours faithfully, Thanks. 24/8

B. N. Rao

2nd 24/8

I have asked the Pres: clerk Dis Office to make up the account for the papers related to Dis Office

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Q (3)1. Please remind him

Ln 1/9/38

(6) Reminded

GS 3/9

Reminded on 5/9/38 again

GS 5/9

(7) Several times he was reminded. Today he has phoned that he has not been able to get at the papers Sanctified by the Asstt. C. He has also stated that he would in the course of the day go over and obtain a letter to the Asstt. Collr. & has search to be made.

GS 12/9/38

After phoning several times, the Official is up today & has given the enclosed report. Information furnished by him is for para. Further he said that if he is detailed for this work for a Continuance, he would have to furnish in form at once.

Please

GS 7/10 9.10.38.

Send for record. Q also the D.C. may be required from the Treasury Asstt & Sheristadar

Ln 8/10/38

Genl. Asst 14/10

Ln 14/10

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisiions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D/S in cancel for in pursuance of S, 11 & 12 supra subd

5.10.38

No 5688 D 18/10/38

to The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District Calling for additional records on the subject.

Memo no 5689 D 18/10/38

to the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding D/S given above for information.

Letter no C3-415-2/32-33 D 27/11/38 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore stating that the records called for are not available

In view of the officially forwarding records cancellation, to have the compensation amount, it is respectfully submitted that the Inamdar will be asked to obtain the necessary facts & all records available &

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this

XI—2]

REGISTER OF "SUSPEND B" CASES OF THE..... BRANCH.

WD 1856—GPB—50,000—15-7-25

er	Date of receipt	File and Serial No.	Brief subject of the file	The date on which it is to be re-submitted	The authority ordering it	Date when such order has been carried out	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

I believe ~~Mr Clerk~~ records if any
with him that he has still
to get a fixed sum on
account of his previous
wages — for duty
Ss
11/11/20

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slip
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

REGISTER OF "SUSPEND B" CASES OF THE..... BRANCH.

WD 1856—GPB—30,000—1

Date of receipt	File and Serial No.	Brief subject of the file	The date on which it is to be re-submitted	The authority ordering it	Date when such order has been carried out	Remarks
2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Submitted

With reference to your personal instructions in the matter of tracing out certain old references relating to the disposal of the Compensation amounts awarded for the acquisition of certain Inam lands belonging to the Ahalla Sha Dargah near Kalbergh, I beg to state as follows:-

On the strength of the old Current number furnished to me by you, I could trace out that a matter related to the interpellation of one Mr Channaiya in 1921 about the non-payment of Compensation to the Dargah. The Dist. Office file relating this subject has been destroyed as it had been given its disposal. On further attempts having been made in this direction I could trace out another dispd file of 1920 bearing No. R.Dis No 19/19-20 Dated 20.5.20, according to which, Govt in their order of 11th May 20, permitted the deposit of Rs 1931-1.3 in the SB Deposit in the name of the Dargah orders were accordingly issued to the Civil Officer Bangalore, to instruct the Bangalore Bank to credit this amount to the SB Account I got the old SB. pass book No 6247 relating to the Makran and on perusal of it I find no entry of this amount in the book subsequent to that date But my further search to trace out any other references relating this subject were in vain. I have however been trying to get the old Vernacular records (5 files) referred in this Office R.Dis No noted above from the Taluk Office and am personally attending

affair to get any references out in the Bangalore Taluk Records. I have requested the Special Taluk Shirastedar to help me in this matter. I had no doubt a number of reminders from Mr. Seetharamaya of the Mysore Secretariat and the matter is being delayed on account of the old references not being traced readily. I am making my best efforts to get at the old papers of the Taluk Office and as soon as further references are traced I shall submit for your kind information, any further materials necessary for the disposal of the question.

Yours Lakshminaranah
Asst Clerk
District Office
Bangalore

D.7 dt. 10th Oct. 1938
Secretariat